

doi: 10.13241/j.cnki.pmb.2021.05.020

利伐沙班与低分子肝素对老年 AECOPD 患者症状缓解、 血气分析指标及凝血功能的影响 *

黄 琼¹ 徐爱晖² 吴国成¹ 程海林¹ 吴 昊¹

(1 中国人民解放军海军安庆医院呼吸与危重症医学科 安徽 安庆 246003;

2 安徽医科大学第一附属医院呼吸与危重症医学科 安徽 合肥 230022)

摘要 目的:探讨利伐沙班与低分子肝素对老年慢性阻塞性肺疾病急性加重期(AECOPD)患者症状缓解、血气分析指标及凝血功能的影响。**方法:**选取 2016 年 8 月~2019 年 11 月期间我院收治的老年 AECOPD 患者 72 例,按照抽签法分为对照组(n=36,低分子肝素治疗)和研究组(n=36,利伐沙班治疗),对比两组疗效、症状缓解、血气分析指标及凝血功能,记录治疗期间不良反应情况。**结果:**研究组治疗 10d 后总有效率较对照组高($P<0.05$)。两组咳嗽、咳痰、发热、呼吸困难症状缓解时间对比差异无统计学意义($P>0.05$)。治疗 10d 后两组氧分压(PaO_2)、氧饱和度(SaO_2)升高,二氧化碳分压(PaCO_2)降低($P<0.05$),研究组治疗 10d 后 PaO_2 、 SaO_2 较对照组高, PaCO_2 低于对照组($P<0.05$)。治疗 10d 后两组纤维蛋白原(Fib)升高,D-二聚体(D-D)、活化部分凝血活酶时间(APTT)、血浆凝血酶原时间(PT)、凝血酶时间(TT)均降低($P<0.05$),治疗 10d 后研究组 D-D 低于对照组($P<0.05$),治疗 10d 后两组 Fib、APTT、TT、PT 组间比较无差异($P>0.05$)。两组不良反应发生率比较无差异($P>0.05$)。**结论:**老年 AECOPD 患者采用利伐沙班与低分子肝素治疗,均可改善患者临床症状且安全性较好,但采用利伐沙班治疗者疗效更好,血气分析指标及凝血功能改善更佳。

关键词:利伐沙班;低分子肝素;老年;慢性阻塞性肺疾病急性加重期;症状缓解;血气分析;凝血功能

中图分类号:R563 文献标识码:**A** 文章编号:1673-6273(2021)05-898-05

Effects of Rivaroxaban and Low Molecular Weight Heparin on Symptom Relief, Blood Gas Analysis Indexes and Coagulation Function in Elderly Patients with AECOPD*

HUANG Qiong¹, XU Ai-hui², WU Guo-cheng¹, CHENG Hai-lin¹, WU Hao¹

(1 Department of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine, Anqing Hospital of the Chinese People's Liberation Army Navy, Anqing,

Anhui, 246003, China; 2 Department of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine,

The First Affiliated Hospital of Anhui Medical University, Hefei, Anhui, 230022, China)

ABSTRACT Objective: To investigate the effects of rivaroxaban and low molecular weight heparin on the symptom relief, blood gas analysis indexes and coagulation function in elderly patients with acute exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (AECOPD). **Methods:** A total of 72 elderly patients with AECOPD admitted to our hospital from August 2016 to November 2019 were selected, and were randomly divided into control group (n=36, low molecular weight heparin treatment) and study group (n=36, rivaroxaban treatment) by lottery. The efficacy, symptom relief, blood gas analysis index and coagulation function of the two groups were compared, and adverse reactions during the treatment were recorded. **Results:** The total effective rate of the study group 10 days after treatment was higher than the control group ($P<0.05$). There were no significant differences in the relief time of cough, sputum, fever and dyspnea between the two groups ($P>0.05$). 10 days after treatment, the oxygen partial pressure (PaO_2), oxygen saturation (SaO_2) of the two groups increased, while the partial pressure of carbon dioxide (PaCO_2) of the two groups decreased ($P<0.05$). 10 days after treatment, PaO_2 and SaO_2 of the study group were higher than the control group, while PaCO_2 was lower than those of the control group ($P<0.05$). 10 days after treatment, fibrinogen (Fib) of the two groups increased, D-Dimer (D-D), activated partial thromboplastin time (APTT), plasma prothrombin time (PT) and thrombin time (TT) decreased ($P<0.05$). 10 days after treatment, D-D of the study group was lower than the control group ($P<0.05$). There were no significant differences between Fib, APTT, TT and PT of the two groups ($P>0.05$). There was no significant difference in the incidence of adverse reactions between the two groups ($P>0.05$). **Conclusion:** Elderly patients with AECOPD treated with rivaroxaban and low molecular weight heparin can improved their clinical symptoms and showed better safety, but those treated with rivaroxaban had better efficacy, and better improvement in blood gas analysis indicators and coagulation function.

Key words: Rivaroxaban; Low molecular weight heparin; Elderly; Acute exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease;

* 基金项目:安徽省科技攻关计划资助项目(1604a0802091)

作者简介:黄琼(1986-),女,硕士,主治医师,研究方向:肺栓塞、肺癌及慢性阻塞性肺疾病,E-mail:joanh1986@163.com

(收稿日期:2020-07-27 接受日期:2020-08-23)

Symptom relief; Blood gas analysis; Coagulation function

Chinese Library Classification(CLC): R563 Document code: A

Article ID: 1673-6273(2021)05-898-05

前言

慢性阻塞性肺疾病急性加重期(AECOPD)是呼吸系统的常见疾病,典型表现是持续进展,气流受限不完全可逆,严重影响患者生命质量^[1,2]。据统计^[3],截止到目前,AECOPD在全球肺部疾病死因中居第4位。现临床有关AECOPD的治疗尚无统一方案,多以抗感染、扩张支气管、止咳及化痰等治疗为主,低分子肝素具有抗凝血、抗血栓、保护动脉内皮等多种药理作用^[4,5]。但该药物在临床使用中常伴有局部疼痛、皮肤瘀点和皮下血肿等不良反应,临床应用受限^[6]。AECOPD患者由于缺氧、感染等原因,凝血功能可出现异常,导致AECOPD患者发生血栓的概率将显著增加,加剧病情恶化^[7,8]。利伐沙班是一种新型口服Xa因子直接抑制剂,具有较好的抗凝效果^[9]。本研究通过探讨利伐沙班与低分子肝素对老年AECOPD患者症状缓解、血气分析指标及凝血功能的影响,以期为临床治疗该病提供参考。

1 资料与方法

1.1 一般资料

选取2016年8月~2019年11月期间我院收治的老年AECOPD患者72例,纳入标准:(1)AECOPD诊断标准参考《慢性阻塞性肺疾病诊治指南》^[10];(2)均属于急性加重期,短期内出现喘息、咳嗽、咳痰加重的情况,痰液呈脓性;(3)患者及其家属知情本研究且签署了同意书;(4)年龄≥60岁;(5)对本次研究用药无禁忌者。排除标准:(1)近期内服用过抗凝药物者;(2)合并有自身免疫性疾病者;(3)合并精神疾病不能配合本次研究者;(4)患有严重心脑血管等原发性疾病者;(5)合并感染性疾病、恶性肿瘤者;(6)合并器质性病变和严重的造血系统疾病者;(7)依从性较差,不配合治疗者。本次研究经医院伦理学委员会批准进行。按照抽签法分为对照组(n=36)和研究组(n=36),两组一般资料行组间比较,无差异($P>0.05$),见表1。

表1 两组一般资料比较

Table 1 Comparison of general data between the two groups

Groups	Male/female	Age(years)	Disease course(years)	Body mass index(kg/m ²)
Control group(n=36)	21/15	69.38±3.52	3.19±0.62	23.74±0.83
Study group(n=36)	23/13	69.51±4.16	3.24±0.69	23.92±0.99
χ^2/t	0.234	0.143	0.323	0.836
P	0.649	0.887	0.747	0.406

1.2 方法

入院后均给予常规对症治疗,如抗感染、吸氧、止咳、化痰、维持水电解质平衡及营养支持等,对照组给予低分子肝素钙注射液(河北常山生化药业股份有限公司,国药准字H20063909,规格:0.4 mL: 4100AXa单位)治疗,4100单位低分子肝素钙注射液腹部皮下注射,1次/d,连续治疗10 d。研究组给予利伐沙班(拜耳医药公司,国药准字J20180076,规格:10 mg)治疗,10 mg/次,口服,1次/d。两组均治疗10d。

1.3 观察指标

(1) 观察两组治疗10 d后的临床总有效率。疗效标准如下:临床控制:咳痰、咳嗽等临床表现恢复为急性加重前的状态。减轻:咳痰、咳嗽等临床表现有所改善,但不及急性加重前的状态。无效:肺部哮鸣音和咳、痰、喘在1个月内仍不及急性加重前的状态。总有效率=临床控制率+减轻率^[11]。(2)于治疗前、治疗10d后采用SYSMEX N9000型血气分析仪检测氧分压(PaO₂)、氧饱和度(SaO₂)、二氧化碳分压(PaCO₂)。(3)采用治疗前、治疗10d后的清晨空腹静脉血5 mL,采用西森美康5100型全自动凝血分析仪检测凝血功能指标:纤维蛋白原(Fib)、D-二聚体(D-D)、活化部分凝血活酶时间(APTT)、血浆凝血酶原时间(PT)、凝血酶时间(TT)。(4)记录两组不良反应。(5)记录两组患者咳嗽、咳痰、发热、呼吸困难症状缓解时间。

1.4 统计学方法

采用SPSS20.0进行数据分析。计数资料以率表示,行卡方

检验。计量资料均为正态资料,以($\bar{x}\pm s$)表示,行t检验。 $\alpha=0.05$ 为检验标准。

2 结果

2.1 两组疗效比较

治疗10 d后,研究组总有效率明显高于对照组($P<0.05$),见表2。

2.2 两组症状缓解时间比较

两组咳嗽、咳痰、发热、呼吸困难症状缓解时间对比差异无统计学意义($P>0.05$),见表3。

2.3 两组血气分析指标比较

治疗前两组血气分析指标比较无差异($P>0.05$),两组治疗10d后PaO₂、SaO₂升高,PaCO₂降低($P<0.05$),治疗10d后研究组PaO₂、SaO₂较对照组高,PaCO₂较对照组低($P<0.05$),详见表4。

2.4 两组凝血功能指标比较

治疗前两组凝血功能指标比较无差异($P>0.05$),治疗10 d后两组Fib升高,D-D、APTT、TT、PT均降低($P<0.05$),治疗10 d后研究组D-D低于对照组($P<0.05$),治疗10 d后两组Fib、APTT、TT、PT组间比较差异无统计学意义($P>0.05$),见表5。

2.5 两组不良反应比较

两组不良反应发生率比较无差异($P>0.05$),见表6。

表 2 两组疗效比较【例(%)】

Table 2 Comparison of efficacy between the two groups [n(%)]

Groups	Clinical control	Alleviate	Invalid	Total effective rate
Control group(n=36)	6(16.67)	18(50.00)	12(33.33)	24(66.67)
Study group(n=36)	9(25.00)	24(66.67)	3(8.33)	33(91.67)
χ^2				6.821
P				0.009

表 3 两组症状缓解时间比较($\bar{x}\pm s$, d)Table 3 Comparison of symptom relief time between the two groups($\bar{x}\pm s$, d)

Groups	Cough	Sputum	Fever	Dyspnea relieved
Control group(n=36)	5.52±1.13	6.76±0.93	4.22±0.84	3.12±0.65
Study group(n=36)	5.55±1.04	6.53±0.72	4.19±0.76	3.29±0.54
t	0.117	1.173	0.159	1.207
P	0.907	0.245	0.874	0.231

表 4 两组血气分析指标比较($\bar{x}\pm s$)Table 4 Comparison of blood gas analysis indicators between the two groups($\bar{x}\pm s$)

Groups	PaO ₂ (mmHg)		SaO ₂ (%)		PaCO ₂ (mmHg)	
	Before treatment	10 days after treatment	Before treatment	10 days after treatment	Before treatment	10 days after treatment
Control group(n=36)	61.62±5.91	69.53±7.16*	81.34±7.39	89.47±6.25*	69.86±6.65	61.80±6.67*
Study group(n=36)	61.29±6.87	78.21±6.82*	80.91±8.26	96.93±7.04*	69.27±7.49	52.34±7.19*
t	0.218	5.267	0.233	4.755	0.353	5.787
P	0.828	0.000	0.817	0.000	0.725	0.000

Note: Comparison with before treatment, *P<0.05.

表 5 两组凝血功能指标比较($\bar{x}\pm s$)Table 5 Comparison of coagulation function indexes between the two groups($\bar{x}\pm s$)

Groups	Fib(g/L)		D-D(μg/mL)		APTT(s)		TT(s)		PT(s)	
	Before treatment	10 days after treatment								
Control group(n=36)	2.73±0.41	4.07±0.52*	3.56±0.35	2.43±0.28*	44.90±5.13	32.13±3.14*	18.29±3.47	13.83±2.24*	15.03±2.68	10.27±2.01*
Study group(n=36)	2.76±0.37	4.09±0.46*	3.51±0.39	1.98±0.36*	44.72±6.25	32.08±4.13*	18.32±2.52	13.62±2.51*	14.96±2.25	10.19±2.29*
t	0.326	0.173	0.572	12.418	0.134	0.058	0.042	0.375	0.120	0.158
P	0.745	0.863	0.569	0.000	0.894	0.954	0.967	0.709	0.905	0.875

Note: Compared with before treatment, *P<0.05.

表 6 不良反应发生率比较【例(%)】

Table 6 Comparison of adverse reactions [n(%)]

Groups	Gastrointestinal discomfort	Nausea and vomiting	Rash	Insomnia	Total incidence rate
Control group(n=36)	2(5.56)	1(2.78)	1(2.78)	1(2.78)	5(13.89)
Study group(n=36)	2(5.56)	2(5.56)	1(2.78)	2(5.56)	7(19.44)
χ^2					0.400
P					0.527

3 讨论

近年来随着环境污染的加重，人们生活方式的改变，AECOPD 的发病率逐年增高，近 9 年来 AECOPD 的发病率已经上升了将近 50%^[12]。AECOPD 患者机体长期处于低氧状态，为了适应长期的缺氧状态，会增加红细胞，而红细胞受缺氧的影响，能量代谢方式发生改变，聚集能力增加，顺应性和变形能力降低，导致全血黏稠度增高^[13,14]。同时长期的低氧还可引起血管内皮细胞受损，胶原组织暴露，激活凝血反应，血液呈高凝状态或肺微血栓形成^[15,16]。而老年 AECOPD 患者在临床并不少见，我国 40 岁以上人群中 AECOPD 发病率已高达 9.9%~12.0%^[17]。老年患者多伴有高血压、高血脂和糖尿病等基础性疾病，长期的血液高凝或微血栓可引起动脉粥样硬化，增加心血管疾病的发生风险^[18,19]。既往就有研究证实^[20]，凝血状态异常和血栓形成可加速 AECOPD 进展，加速肺动脉高压形成。因此，针对 AECOPD 的治疗，仅进行常规的对症支持治疗，虽对病情控制有一定的作用，但远期治疗效果存在明显的不足。

低分子肝素钙属于一种新型抗凝血酶依赖性药物，其抗凝的具体机制为与抗凝血酶结合从而抑制凝血酶的活性^[21,22]。既往研究指出^[23]，AECOPD 患者在常规治疗基础上联合低分子肝素钙治疗，疗效肯定，且相对安全，肯定了低分子肝素钙在 AECOPD 治疗中的应用成效与价值。但由于老年患者体质特殊，长期应用低分子肝素钙的效果并不十分理想。故本研究尝试采用利伐沙班治疗老年 AECOPD 患者，利伐沙班是唑烷酮类选择性 Xa 因子抑制剂，对 Xa 因子选择性较高，且治疗窗宽，用药后无需进行常规检测，与药物、食物相互作用小，使用相对安全^[24,25]。研究结果显示，利伐沙班与低分子肝素治疗者的症状缓解更快，疗效优于单用低分子肝素钙治疗。利伐沙班进入人体后可选择性地阻断 Xa 因子的活性位点，通过外源性、内源性等多种途径活化 X 因子为 Xa 因子，参与着机体的凝血级联反应，有效阻止 AECOPD 的疾病进展^[26]。AECOPD 患者由于细胞因子大量释放，肺损伤加剧，导致肺功能呈进行性下降^[27]。本研究中利伐沙班与低分子肝素治疗均可有效改善机体血气分析指标，但利伐沙班治疗者的改善效果更佳，分析其原因，利伐沙班可竞争性结合 P- 选择素和 L- 选择素，组织白细胞黏附活化，调节炎症级联反应，发挥良好的抗炎效果，从而减轻炎症对肺组织的损伤，保护肺功能，维持良好的肺通气^[28]，加上利伐沙班除抗凝以外还具有其他的药理活性，利伐沙班可增加外周组织对氧的摄取及利用能力，改善微循环，减轻气道压力，阻止血管平滑肌增生，降低肺动脉高压，从而改善机体低氧状态和肺通气^[29]。D-D 是由交联纤维蛋白产生的可溶性降解产物，正常人体血中含量很低，当机体存在血管内形成活化的血栓时和纤维溶解时则明显增高。Fib 是血小板凝聚的重要递质，可影响血液的凝滞度。APTT、PT、TT 均是临床反映凝血功能的常见指标，其数值升高预示着机体凝血功能障碍。本研究中治疗 10d 后研究组 D-D 低于对照组，提示利伐沙班改善机体凝血功能的效果略优于低分子肝素钙，可能是因为低分子肝素不能直接对 Xa 因子产生作用，需与抗凝血酶 III 结合后才能产生抗 Xa 因子的活性。而利伐沙班可直接拮抗游离和结合的 Xa 因子，克服了低分子肝素需长期服药以维持疗效，出血风险大，且需要

密切监测凝血功能指标等的局限性^[30]。另利伐沙班治疗者较低分子肝素治疗者并未增加不良反应发生率，可见其安全性较好，取决于利伐沙班具有口服吸收快、生物利用度高、受体重、性别、进食和药物的影响小等优势。

综上所述，老年 AECOPD 患者采用利伐沙班与低分子肝素治疗，均可改善患者临床症状且安全性较好，但采用利伐沙班治疗者的疗效更好，血气分析指标及凝血功能改善更佳。

参考文献(References)

- Vermeersch K, Gabrovska M, Aumann J, et al. Azithromycin during Acute Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease Exacerbations Requiring Hospitalization (BACE). A Multicenter, Randomized, Double-Blind, Placebo-controlled Trial [J]. Am J Respir Crit Care Med, 2019, 200(7): 857-868
- Ansari SF, Memon M, Brohi N, et al. N-acetylcysteine in the Management of Acute Exacerbation of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease[J]. Cureus, 2019, 11(11): e6073
- Li Z, Yuan X, Yu L, et al. Procalcitonin-guided antibiotic therapy in acute exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: An updated meta-analysis[J]. Medicine (Baltimore), 2019, 98(32): e16775
- Kichloo A, Aljadah M, Vipparla N, et al. Optimal glucocorticoid dose and the effects on mortality, length of stay, and readmission rates in patients diagnosed with acute exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (AECOPD)[J]. J Investig Med, 2019, 67(8): 1161-1164
- Zhao D, Feng JF. Efficacy of low molecular weight heparin for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and respiratory failure: A protocol of systematic review of randomized controlled trials [J]. Medicine (Baltimore), 2019, 98(48): e18051
- Yang M, Xu Y, Chen H, et al. Benefits and risks of low molecular weight heparin in patients with acute exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials[J]. Inflammopharmacology, 2020, 28(2): 451-462
- Eck RJ, Bult W, Wetterslev J, et al. Low Dose Low-Molecular-Weight Heparin for Thrombosis Prophylaxis: Systematic Review with Meta-Analysis and Trial Sequential Analysis [J]. J Clin Med, 2019, 8(12): 2039
- Spannella F, Giulietti F, Coccia G, et al. Acute Exacerbation of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease in Oldest Adults: Predictors of In-Hospital Mortality and Need for Post-acute Care [J]. J Am Med Dir Assoc, 2019, 20(7): 893-898
- 张谨超, 崔月娥, 刘娜, 等. 利伐沙班和低分子肝素治疗老年慢性阻塞性肺疾病急性加重期的效果观察 [J]. 中国医药, 2019, 14(4): 533-536
- 中华医学会呼吸病学分会慢性阻塞性肺疾病学组. 慢性阻塞性肺疾病诊治指南(2013 年修订版)[J]. 中华结核和呼吸杂志, 2013, 36(4): 255-264
- 吴少颖, 吴敏. 常见疾病的诊断与疗效判定(标准)[M]. 北京: 中国中医药出版社, 1999: 142-145
- 张阳, 王宁, 樊静, 等. 2014-2015 年中国 40 岁及以上慢性阻塞性肺疾病患者药物治疗率及其影响因素分析[J]. 中华流行病学杂志, 2020, 41(5): 678-684
- Zhou M, Chen C, Peng J, et al. Fast Prediction of Deterioration and

- Death Risk in Patients With AcuteExacerbation of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease Using Vital Signs and Admission History: Retrospective Cohort Study [J]. JMIR Med Inform, 2019, 7(4): e13085
- [14] 周少珠, 叶旭军, 杨静, 等. 沙丁胺醇、布地奈德不同雾化吸入联合头孢哌酮钠舒巴坦钠对AECOPD患者血气指标和肺功能的影响 [J]. 现代生物医学进展, 2020, 20(8): 1468-1472
- [15] Chen PK, Hsiao YH, Pan SW, et al. Independent factors associate with hospital mortality in patients with acuteexacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease requiring intensive care unit admission: Focusing on the eosinophil-to-neutrophil ratio [J]. PLoS One, 2019, 14(7): e0218932
- [16] Liu S, Chen J, Zuo J, et al. Comparative effectiveness of six Chinese herb formulas for acuteexacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a systematic review and network meta-analysis [J]. BMC Complement Altern Med, 2019, 19(1): 226
- [17] 吴明, 刘钦华, 郭永明, 等. 福州市40岁及以上人群慢性阻塞性肺疾病流行病学调查分析 [J]. 国际呼吸杂志, 2020, 40(2): 107-113
- [18] Goto T, Shimada YJ, Faridi MK, et al. Incidence of Acute Cardiovascular Event After Acute Exacerbation of COPD [J]. J Gen Intern Med, 2018, 33(9): 1461-1468
- [19] Dastan F, Salamzadeh J, Pourrashid MH, et al. Effects of High-Dose Vitamin D Replacement on the Serum Levels of Systemic Inflammatory Biomarkers in Patients with Acute Exacerbation of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease [J]. COPD, 2019, 16(3-4): 278-283
- [20] Janaudis-Ferreira T, Tansey CM, Harrison SL, et al. A Qualitative Study to Inform a More Acceptable Pulmonary Rehabilitation Program after Acute Exacerbation of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease [J]. Ann Am Thorac Soc, 2019, 16(9): 1158-1164
- [21] Carrier M, Altman AD, Blais N, et al. Extended thromboprophylaxis with low-molecular weight heparin (LMWH) following abdominopelvic cancer surgery [J]. Am J Surg, 2019, 218(3): 537-550
- [22] Felder S, Rasmussen MS, King R, et al. Prolonged thromboprophylaxis with low molecular weight heparin for abdominal or pelvic surgery [J]. Cochrane Database Syst Rev, 2019, 8(8): CD004318
- [23] Lin A, Vazquez SR, Jones AE, et al. Description of anti-Xa monitoring practices during low molecular weightheparin use [J]. J Thromb Thrombolysis, 2019, 48(4): 623-628
- [24] Monagle P, Lensing AWA, Thelen K, et al. Bodyweight-adjusted rivaroxaban for children with venous thromboembolism (EINSTEIN-Jr): results from three multicentre, single-arm, phase 2 studies [J]. Lancet Haematol, 2019, 6(10): e500-e509
- [25] Male C, Lensing AWA, Palumbo JS, et al. Rivaroxaban compared with standard anticoagulants for the treatment of acute venous thromboembolism in children: a randomised, controlled, phase 3 trial [J]. Lancet Haematol, 2020, 7(1): e18-e27
- [26] Wingert NR, Arbo MD, Göethel G, et al. In vitro toxicity assessment of rivaroxaban degradation products and kinetic evaluation to decay process [J]. Drug Chem Toxicol, 2019, 42(5): 509-518
- [27] Ding S, Wang L, Xie L, et al. Bioequivalence Study of 2 Formulations of Rivaroxaban, a Narrow-Therapeutic-Index Drug, in Healthy Chinese Subjects Under Fasting and Fed Conditions [J]. Clin Pharmacol Drug Dev, 2020, 9(3): 346-352
- [28] Kale DP, Puri V, Kumar A, et al. The Role of Cocrystallization-Mediated Altered Crystallographic Properties on the Tabletability of Rivaroxaban and Malonic Acid [J]. Pharmaceutics, 2020, 12(6): E546
- [29] Fronas SG, Dahm AEA, Wik HS, et al. Safety and feasibility of rivaroxaban in deferred workup of patients with suspected deep vein thrombosis [J]. Blood Adv, 2020, 4(11): 2468-2476
- [30] Anwer MK, Mohammad M, Iqbal M, et al. Sustained release and enhanced oral bioavailability of rivaroxaban by PLGA nanoparticles with no food effect [J]. J Thromb Thrombolysis, 2020, 49(3): 404-412

(上接第897页)

- [20] Miyauchi K. Helper T Cell Responses to Respiratory Viruses in the Lung: Development, Virus Suppression, and Pathogenesis [J]. Viral Immunol, 2017, 30(6): 421-430
- [21] 任安义. 外周血炎症介质对支气管哮喘并发呼吸道感染的早期诊断意义 [J]. 中国实验诊断学, 2017, 21(6): 1050-1052
- [22] 黄遵. 小儿哮喘发作中相关炎症介质水平的变化及意义 [J]. 中国妇幼保健, 2017, 32(15): 3581-3583
- [23] Kalal C, Shukla A, Mohanka R, et al. Sofosbuvir, pegylated interferon, and ribavirin for retreatment of hepatitis C virus genotype 1b following sofosbuvir and ledipasvir failure [J]. Hepatology, 2018, 67(5): 2049-2050
- [24] 陈垣, 周静. 补中益气汤治疗反复呼吸道感染患儿的疗效及对免疫功能的影响 [J]. 海南医学, 2020, 31(3): 354-356
- [25] 钱钻好, 王荧荧, 傅元凤. 玉屏风颗粒联合葡萄糖酸锌治疗小儿反复呼吸道感染的临床效果 [J]. 世界中医药, 2018, 13(9): 2233-2236
- [26] Tamai H, Ida Y, Kawashima A, et al. Simeprevir-Based Triple Therapy with Reduced Doses of Pegylated Interferon α-2a Plus Ribavirin for Interferon Ineligible Patients with Genotype 1b Hepatitis C Virus [J]. Gut Liver, 2017, 11(4): 551-558
- [27] 穆丹, 钟红平. 玉屏风散联合重组人干扰素α-1b雾化吸入治疗小儿反复呼吸道感染的研究 [J]. 现代中西医结合杂志, 2018, 27(32): 3558-3560, 3564
- [28] 周逸珊, 李诚, 刘晓清, 等. 玉屏风颗粒联合布地奈德福莫特罗粉吸入剂治疗支气管哮喘的疗效观察 [J]. 广东医学, 2019, 40(24): 3446-3451
- [29] 张呈, 陈继源, 王茂壮, 等. 玉屏风颗粒联合脾氨肽治疗反复下呼吸道感染患儿的疗效及对炎性因子、SAA水平的影响 [J]. 贵州医药, 2020, 44(2): 234-236
- [30] 郝文东, 郎华, 李晓婧, 等. 玉屏风颗粒联合厚朴排气合剂治疗气虚痰湿型稳定期COPD疗效及对免疫功能、CX3CL1与MFAP-4的影响 [J]. 现代中西医结合杂志, 2020, 29(9): 918-922