

doi: 10.13241/j.cnki.pmb.2020.08.026

解剖性肝叶切除与非解剖性肝叶切除治疗原发性肝癌的疗效对比研究 *

刘 欣 母齐鸣 王 刚 李春林 廖 波

(三六三医院 / 西南医科大学附属成都三六三医院肝胆胰外科 四川 成都 610041)

摘要 目的:对比解剖性肝叶切除手术(AH)与非解剖性肝叶切除手术(NAH)治疗原发性肝癌(PHC)的疗效。**方法:**回顾性分析2015年1月~2018年3月期间我院收治的103例PHC患者的临床资料,根据手术方式的不同将患者分为对照组(n=54)和研究组(n=49),对照组给予NAH治疗,研究组给予AH治疗,比较两组围术期指标、黑色素瘤抗原-1(MAGE-1)mRNA、甲胎蛋白(AFP)mRNA阳性表达、肝功能指标、术后并发症及复发转移情况。**结果:**两组患者术后7d丙氨酸氨基转移酶(ALT)、天冬氨酸氨基转移酶(AST)、总胆红素(TBIL)均升高,但研究组低于对照组($P<0.05$)。研究组住院时间短于对照组,术中出血量、术中输血量、术后引流量少于对照组($P<0.05$),研究组手术时间长于对照组($P<0.05$)。两组术后28d的MAGE-1 mRNA、AFP mRNA阳性表达率均有所降低,且研究组低于对照组($P<0.05$)。研究组术后并发症发生率以及复发转移率均低于对照组($P<0.05$)。**结论:**与NAH术式相比,AH治疗PHC患者可有效减轻肝功能损害,下调MAGE-1 mRNA、AFP mRNA阳性表达,降低术后并发症及复发转移发生率。

关键词:解剖性肝叶切除手术;非解剖性肝叶切除手术;肝切除术;原发性肝癌;疗效

中图分类号:R735.7 文献标识码:A 文章编号:1673-6273(2020)08-1519-04

A Comparative Study of the Efficacy of Anatomical Hepatectomy and Non-anatomical Hepatectomy in the Treatment of Primary Hepatocellular Carcinoma*

LIU Xin, MU Qi-ming, WANG Gang, LI Chun-lin, LIAO Bo

(Department of Hepatobiliary and Pancreatic Surgery, 363 Hospital/Chengdu 363 Hospital Affiliated to Southwest Medical University, Chengdu, Sichuan, 610041, China)

ABSTRACT Objective: To compare the efficacy of anatomical hepatectomy (AH) and non-anatomical hepatectomy (NAH) in the treatment of primary hepatocellular carcinoma (PHC). **Methods:** The clinical data of 103 patients with PHC who were admitted to our hospital from January 2015 to March 2018 were analyzed retrospectively. The patients were divided into control group (n=54) and study group (n=49) according to different surgical methods. The control group was treated with NAH, and the research group was treated with AH. Perioperative indicators, melanoma antigen-1 (MAGE-1) gene, alpha-fetoprotein (AFP) gene positive expression, liver function indicators, postoperative complications and recurrence and metastasis were compared between the two groups. **Results:** Alanine aminotransferase (ALT), aspartate aminotransferase (AST) and total bilirubin (TBIL) were increased in both groups at 7 days after operation, but those in the study group were lower than those in the control group ($P<0.05$). The hospitalization time of the study group was shorter than that of the control group, and the intraoperative blood loss, intraoperative blood transfusion and postoperative drainage volume were less than those of the control group ($P<0.05$), while the operation time of the study group was longer than that of the control group ($P<0.05$). The positive expression rate of MAGE-1 mRNA and AFP mRNA in the study group were lower than those in the control group at 28 days after operation ($P<0.05$). The incidence of postoperative complications and recurrence and metastasis in the study group were lower than those in the control group ($P<0.05$). **Conclusion:** Compared with NAH operation, AH can effectively alleviate the damage of liver function, down-regulate the positive expression of MAGE-1 mRNA and AFP mRNA, reduce the incidence of complications and recurrence and metastasis.

Key words: Anatomical hepatectomy; Non-anatomical hepatectomy; Hepatectomy; Primary hepatocellular carcinoma; Efficacy

Chinese Library Classification(CLC): R735.7 Document code: A

Article ID: 1673-6273(2020)08-1519-04

前言

原发性肝癌(PHC)是由于肝细胞或肝内胆管上皮细胞发生癌变所致^[1]。该病发病隐匿,恶性程度高,治疗难度大,极易导

* 基金项目:四川省卫计委科研基金资助项目(161303)

作者简介:刘欣(1984-),女,本科,主治医师,研究方向:肝胆胰微创治疗,E-mail: meteorxin421@163.com

(收稿日期:2019-10-07 接受日期:2019-10-31)

致患者死亡^[2]。据统计^[3],全球每年新发PHC人数约为62万人,而我国约占其中的55%。肝叶切除术是治疗PHC的首选方式,根据解剖学原理可将其分为非解剖性肝叶切除手术(NAH)^[4]与解剖性肝叶切除手术(AH)^[5]。其中NAH为临床的常用术式,但存在切除范围不足的缺点,无法达到根治性切除肝细胞癌的目标^[6,7]。AH是近年来的临床研究热点,在切除病灶的同时,可最大程度的保留残余肝组织功能,有利于患者术后康复^[8,9],但该术式对肝功能损伤较为严重的PHC患者的疗效有待加强,现临床有关这两种术式的疗效尚存在一定争议。本研究回顾性分析三六三医院分别经NAH、AH治疗的PHC患者的临床资料,并对比其临床疗效,以期为PHC患者术式的选择提供参考,现报道如下。

1 资料与方法

1.1 基线资料

回顾性分析2015年1月~2018年3月期间我院收治的103例PHC患者的临床资料,此次研究经三六三医院伦理学委员会批准进行。纳入标准:(1)参考《原发性肝癌的临床诊断与分期标准》^[10];(2)经影像学、实验室检查等确诊为PHC;(3)均具备手术指征者;(4)预计生存期≥3个月;(5)肝功能Child-Pugh分级^[11]为A级或B级;(6)术前未行放化疗治疗者;(7)患者及其家属知情本次研究且已签署同意书。排除标准:(1)肝癌广泛转移者;(2)合并有精神疾病,依从性差者;(3)合并其他恶性肿瘤者;(4)合并感染性疾病、免疫性疾病者;(5)严重心肺肾等脏器功能不全者;(6)未能完成随访,中途失联者。根据手术方式的不同将患者分为对照组(n=54)和研究组(n=49),其中对照组男32例,女22例,年龄43~71岁,平均(54.28±3.42)岁;Child-Pugh分级:A级30例,B级24例;病灶直径2.9~6.2 cm,平均(4.73±0.26)cm。研究组男28例,女21例,年龄40~68岁,平均(53.92±3.71)岁;Child-Pugh分级:A级27例,B级22例;病灶直径2.7~6.1 cm,平均(4.69±0.33)cm。两组一般资料对比无差异($P>0.05$)。

1.2 方法

研究组予以AH术式治疗,操作如下:全麻下气管插管,切口取双侧肋缘下,充分暴露肝脏后确认肿瘤位置。超声引导下

明确即将切除的叶、肝段。跟踪门静脉与肝静脉,并确定肝脏的切除范围。于肝脏表面用电凝钩作出肝脏的切除范围,解剖第一肝门,选择性阻断半肝血流,继续解剖肝实质。解剖分离及切除肿瘤完成后,缝合切口,常规放置引流管。对照组患者给予NAH术式治疗,操作如下:操作如下:全麻下气管插管,切口取双侧肋缘下,充分暴露肝脏后确认肿瘤位置。超声引导下明确即将切除的叶、肝段。采用Pringle法阻断入肝血流,采用血管钳夹、电凝或刮吸法快速离断预备切除的肝组织。手术结束后清理手术野,将切口缝合,常规放置引流管。两组患者术后均给予常规护肝、预防感染、抗炎治疗。

1.3 观察指标

(1)抽取患者术前、术后7d的肘静脉血4 mL,经3600 r/min离心12 min,离心半径8 cm,分离上清液,置于-40℃冰箱中待测。采用美国贝克曼库尔特公司生产的BEKMAN CX5全自动生化检测仪检测肝功能指标:丙氨酸氨基转移酶(ALT)、天冬氨酸氨基转移酶(AST)、总胆红素(TBIL)。(2)于术前、术后28d抽取患者肘静脉血4 mL,分离单个核细胞,提取RNA,采用实时荧光定量PCR法检测黑色素瘤抗原-1(MAGE-1)mRNA、甲胎蛋白(AFP)mRNA,严格遵守试剂盒(北京博奥森生物工程有限公司)的说明书进行操作,统计两组患者MAGE-1 mRNA、AFP mRNA阳性表达例数^[12]。(3)记录两组患者术后并发症发生情况。(4)采用门诊复查的方式对术后患者进行为期1年的随访,统计复发及转移例数,随访终止指征为患者死亡。(5)记录两组围术期指标情况。

1.4 统计学方法

采用SPSS20.0进行数据分析。计数资料以例数及率的形式表示,组间比较行卡方检验。计量资料均为正态资料,以均值±标准差的形式表示,组内治疗前后比较为配对t检验,组间比较行成组t检验。检验标准设置为 $\alpha=0.05$ 。

2 结果

2.1 两组围术期指标比较

研究组住院时间短于对照组,术后引流量、术中出血量、术中输血量少于对照组($P<0.05$);研究组手术时间长于对照组($P<0.05$);详见表1。

表1 两组围术期指标比较($\bar{x}\pm s$)

Table 1 Comparison of perioperative indexes between two groups($\bar{x}\pm s$)

Groups	Operation time(min)	Intraoperative bleeding volume(mL)	Hospitalization time(d)	Postoperative drainage volume(mL)	Intraoperative blood transfusion volume(mL)
Control group(n=54)	198.54±58.64	519.07±28.35	15.72±1.89	255.35±24.56	528.87±22.87
Study group(n=49)	261.49±60.93	424.10±30.27	13.93±1.43	186.41±19.62	433.05±20.31
t	5.341	16.441	5.378	15.635	22.390
P	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000

2.2 肝功能指标比较

两组患者术前ALT、AST、TBIL比较无差异($P>0.05$);两组患者术后7d的ALT、AST、TBIL均升高,但研究组低于对照组($P<0.05$);详见表2。

2.3 MAGE-1 mRNA、AFP mRNA阳性表达率比较

两组术前MAGE-1 mRNA、AFP mRNA阳性表达率比较无差异($P>0.05$);两组术后28d的MAGE-1 mRNA、AFP mRNA阳性表达率均有所降低,且研究组低于对照组($P<0.05$);详见表3。

2.4 术后并发症发生率比较

研究组术后并发症发生率为 12.24%(6/49),显著低于对照组患者的 29.63%(16/54)(P<0.05);详见表 4。

表 2 两组肝功能指标比较($\bar{x}\pm s$)
Table 2 Comparison of liver function indexes between two groups($\bar{x}\pm s$)

Groups	ALT(U/L)		AST(U/L)		TBIL(μmol/L)	
	Preoperative	7d after operation	Preoperative	7d after operation	Preoperative	7d after operation
Control group(n=54)	54.24±7.86	456.35±33.48 ^a	67.08±13.86	432.99±38.59 ^a	17.65±2.31	29.76±2.49 ^a
Study group(n=49)	54.19±8.03	377.68±32.39 ^a	66.97±15.31	351.16±36.74 ^a	17.73±2.34	22.68±2.81 ^a
t	0.032	12.095	0.038	10.995	0.174	13.557
P	0.975	0.000	0.970	0.000	0.862	0.000

Note: Compared with preoperative, ^aP<0.05.

表 3 两组 MAGE-1 mRNA、AFP mRNA 阳性表达率比较($\bar{x}\pm s$)
Table 3 Comparison of positive expression of MAGE-1 mRNA and AFP mRNA in two groups($\bar{x}\pm s$)

Groups	MAGE-1 mRNA		AFP mRNA	
	Preoperative	28d after operation	Preoperative	28d after operation
Control group(n=54)	28(51.85)	14(25.93) ^a	29(53.70)	21(38.89) ^a
Study group(n=49)	26(53.06)	5(10.20) ^a	27(55.10)	10(20.41) ^a
χ^2	0.105	4.221	0.165	4.170
P	0.902	0.040	0.865	0.041

Note: Compared with preoperative, ^aP<0.05.

表 4 术后并发症发生率比较[n(%)]
Table 4 Comparisons of postoperative complications[n(%)]

Groups	Abdominal and thoracic infection	Pulmonary infection	Bile leakage	Liver failure	Total incidence
Control group(n=54)	4(7.41)	5(9.26)	3(5.56)	4(7.41)	16(29.63)
Study group(n=49)	1(2.04)	2(4.08)	1(2.04)	2(4.08)	6(12.24)
χ^2					4.628
P					0.032

2.5 两组患者随访期间复发情况

随访期间,对照组肝内复发 16 例,肝外转移 12 例,复发转移率为 51.85%(28/54);研究组肝内复发 9 例,肝外转移 3 例,复发转移率为 24.49%(12/49);研究组复发转移率低于对照组($\chi^2=8.097, P=0.004$)。

3 讨论

PHC 作为常见的消化系统恶性肿瘤之一,占据我国恶性肿瘤死亡的第一位,已成为严重危害我国人民身体健康的恶性疾病。目前其发病机制尚不十分明确,既往认为与遗传、寄生虫感染、肝炎病史等因素相关^[13]。多数 PHC 患者早期临床症状不典型,当典型症状出现时则已处于中晚期,预后较差^[14]。PHC 的治疗方案多样,其中手术切除仍是其最基础、最有效的手段之一^[15]。由于我国 PHC 具有其自身特点,绝大多数 PHC 患者常合并不同程度的肝硬化及肝功能损害,因此,以往传统的手术切除多以 NAH 为主,尤其针对小肝癌的治疗,疗效较佳^[16],但由于 NAH 仅在手指触摸指导下完成,肿瘤范围估算存在一定

差异,极易造成切缘癌残留,增加术后残留切缘癌残复发风险^[17]。以往患者及其临床工作者对手术质量的评价多局限于彻底清除病灶模式,随着医学模式的发展,该模式已逐渐发展成为最大脏器保护、最小伤害侵袭以及最佳术后康复的多维度综合模式^[18,19]。在此背景下,AH 应运而生,伴随着术前肝功能检测技术的进步、手术器械的发展以及肝脏解剖学的深入了解,AH 日益受到临床重视,AH 是指预先切断病侧肝叶的入肝血流后,随后根据外科解剖的肝叶、肝段、半肝或肝三叶的范围切除肝组织^[20,21]。

本研究结果中,研究组术后引流量、住院时间、术中出血量、术中输血量均优于对照组,可见 AH 可有效改善围术期部分指标,这主要是因为 AH 手术步骤严格遵守 Couinaud 提出的 8 段分肝法,可行单独的肝段甚至亚肝段切除,可减少患者术中损伤,术后恢复快,减少住院时间,加之 AH 离断肝实质时对胆管和大血管的损害接近于无,可维持侧肝组织完整的血供运行,减少术中出血量、术中输血量^[22-24]。而研究中研究组手术时间长于对照组,这主要是因为 NAH 因阻断入肝血流必须在

一定时间内完成,而 AH 手术过程中的断肝过程又属于精细手术操作,致使 AH 手术时间较长。本研究结果显示,两组患者术后肝功能均有一定损伤,但研究组肝功能损伤程度较对照组减轻,表明 AH 术式可有效减轻肝功能损害。这与吕运海等人^[25]研究基本一致,这可能与 AH 为选择性入肝血流阻断,肝断面出血减少,避免剩余肝脏缺血再灌注损伤,最大程度的保护剩余肝脏组织功能^[26,27]。MAGE-1 属于 CT 抗原家族,其在肝癌组织中具有较高的表达率^[28]。AFP 是一种血清单链糖蛋白,在健康群体中含量极低,然而当机体处于癌变状态时,AFP 合成基因被激活,其水平迅速升高^[29]。本研究中两组患者 MAGE-1 mRNA、AFP mRNA 阳性表达率均有所改善,且研究组改善效果更佳。提示 AH 可更好的切除肝脏肿瘤,防止肿瘤扩散,究其原因,AH 术式中可将肝脏的门静脉及其分支切除,有效改善术后肿瘤扩散,同时还可确保切缘处无残留的肿瘤组织。本次研究结果还证实,研究组术后并发症及复发转移的发生率均低于对照组,充分说明 AH 在临床 PHC 治疗中的安全性和实用性更好,这与周超毅等人^[30]的研究基本一致。

综上所述,与 NAH 术式相比,AH 治疗 PHC 可有效改善部分临床指标,减轻肝功能损害,降低 MAGE-1 mRNA、AFP mRNA 阳性表达率,减少术后并发症及复发转移,临床应用价值较高。

参考文献(References)

- [1] 赵鹏, 郑加生, 张洪海, 等. 肝动脉导管化疗栓塞联合 CT 引导精准微波消融治疗原发性肝癌的疗效及影响因素 [J]. 中华肿瘤杂志, 2016, 38(2): 138-145
- [2] Shiba S, Shibuya K, Katoh H, et al. A comparison of carbon ion radiotherapy and transarterial chemoembolization treatment outcomes for single hepatocellular carcinoma: a propensity score matching study[J]. Radiat Oncol, 2019, 14(1): 137
- [3] 刘学芬, 冉文华, 彭东, 等. GEMOX 栓塞化疗联合三维适形放疗治疗局部晚期原发性肝癌临床研究[J]. 现代生物医学进展, 2017, 17(4): 720-724
- [4] Huang X, Lu S. A Meta-analysis comparing the effect of anatomical resection vs. non-anatomical resection on the long-term outcomes for patients undergoing hepatic resection for hepatocellular carcinoma[J]. HPB (Oxford), 2017, 19(10): 843-849
- [5] Kim JH. Usefulness of the Ligamentum Venosum as an Anatomical Landmark for Safe Laparoscopic Left Hepatectomy (How I Do It)[J]. J Gastrointest Surg, 2018, 22(8): 1464-1469
- [6] Zhao H, Chen C, Gu S, et al. Anatomical versus?non-anatomical? resection for solitary hepatocellular carcinoma without macroscopic vascular invasion: A propensity score matching analysis [J]. J Gastroenterol Hepatol, 2017, 32(4): 870-878
- [7] Yamamoto T, Yagi S, Uryuhara K, et al. Clinical factors that affect the outcomes after anatomical versus non-anatomical resection for hepatocellular carcinoma[J]. Surg Today, 2017, 47(2): 193-201
- [8] Nanashima A, Sumida Y, Tominaga T, et al. Significance of liver hanging maneuver for anatomical hepatectomy in patients with a large hepatocellular carcinoma compressing intrahepatic vasculatures [J]. Ann Hepatobiliary Pancreat Surg, 2017, 21(4): 188-193
- [9] Nanashima A, Ariizumi SI, Yamamoto M. East meets West: East and West pioneers of "anatomical right hepatectomy" - period of dawn to establishment[J]. J Hepatobiliary Pancreat Sci, 2018, 25(3): 214-216
- [10] 中国抗癌协会肝癌专业委员会. 原发性肝癌的临床诊断与分期标准[J]. 中华肝脏病杂志, 2001, 9(6): 324
- [11] 黄乾荣, 张玲. 原发性肝癌治疗研究新进展 [J]. 实用医学杂志, 2016, 32(14): 2275-2278
- [12] 牛楠, 任旋磊, 沙洪存, 等. 联合检测外周血 AFP mRNA、h-TERT mRNA、CD44v6 mRNA 和 MAGE mRNA 对原发性肝癌术后复发的预测价值[J]. 中华普通外科杂志, 2017, 32(9): 778-782
- [13] Jiang Y, Han QJ, Zhang J. Hepatocellular carcinoma: Mechanisms of progression and immunotherapy [J]. World J Gastroenterol, 2019, 25(25): 3151-3167
- [14] Yao X, Wang L, Gao J. Overshadowed prospect of programmed cell death protein-1 (PD-1) inhibitor as monotherapy for patients with advanced hepatocellular carcinoma [J]. Biosci Trends, 2019, 13(3): 282-283
- [15] Ng L, Kwan V, Chow A, et al. Overexpression of Pin1 and rho signaling partners correlates with metastatic behavior and poor recurrence-free survival of hepatocellular carcinoma patients[J]. BMC Cancer, 2019, 19(1): 713
- [16] 邵宝儿, 田炳如, 乐凌云, 等. 索拉菲尼片联合经肝动脉化疗栓塞术治疗不可手术切除的原发性肝癌的临床研究[J]. 中国临床药理学杂志, 2019, 35(7): 620-623
- [17] Strobel O, Büchler MW. Anatomical versus non-anatomical liver resection for hepatocellular carcinoma: No impact on recurrence rate [J]. Chirurg, 2015, 86(8): 800
- [18] Hai S, Hatano E, Hirano T, et al. Hepatectomy for Hilar Cholangiocarcinoma with Right-Sided Ligamentum Teres Using a Hepatectomy Simulation System[J]. Case Rep Gastroenterol, 2017, 11(3): 576-583
- [19] Gasser E, Braunwarth E, Riedmann M, et al. Primary tumour location affects survival after resection of colorectal liver metastases: A two-institutional cohort study with international validation, systematic meta-analysis and a clinical risk score[J]. PLoS One, 2019, 14(5): e0217411
- [20] Ryu T, Honda G, Kurata M, et al. Perioperative and oncological outcomes of laparoscopic anatomical hepatectomy for hepatocellular carcinoma introduced gradually in a single center[J]. Surg Endosc, 2018, 32(2): 790-798
- [21] Qiu D, Zhuang H, Han F. Effect and influence factor analysis of intrahepatic Glisson's sheath vascular disconnection approach for anatomical hepatectomy by three-dimensional laparoscope[J]. J BUON, 2017, 22(1): 157-161
- [22] Kon T, Suzuki H, Kawaguchi T, et al. Anatomical hepatectomy for liver metastasis from rectal adenocarcinoma presenting with intrabilary extension: a case report[J]. J Rural Med, 2016, 11(2): 63-68
- [23] Tsai CY, Watanabe N, Ebata T, et al. Right hepatectomy for a de-toured left hepatic artery in hilar cholangiocarcinoma-report of a rare but rational resection[J]. World J Surg Oncol, 2016, 14(1): 288

- 解剖学杂志, 2016, 39(6): 730-733
- [9] Barazzoni R, Bischoff S, Boirie Y, et al. Sarcopenic Obesity: Time to Meet the Challenge[J]. *Obes Facts*, 2018, 11(4): 294-305
- [10] Qasim A, Turcotte M, de Souza RJ, et al. On the origin of obesity: identifying the biological, environmental and cultural drivers of genetic risk among human populations[J]. *Obes Rev*, 2018, 19(2): 121-149
- [11] Javed A, Jumeau M, Murad MH, et al. Diagnostic performance of body mass index to identify obesity as defined by body adiposity in children and adolescents: a systematic review and meta-analysis[J]. *Pediatr Obes*, 2015, 10(3): 234-244
- [12] Global BMI, Mortality Collaboration, DI Angelantonio E, et al. Body-mass index and all-cause mortality: individual-participant-data meta-analysis of 239 prospective studies in four continents[J]. *Lancet*, 2016, 388(10046): 776-786
- [13] 董春萍, 吴贵福, 张瑜庆, 等. 肥胖 2 型糖尿病患者血清脂联素、E-选择素、可溶性细胞黏附因子 -1 的表达及其与氧化应激的关系 [J]. 现代生物医学进展, 2018, 18(21): 4164-4168
- [14] Sarparanta J, García-Macia M, Singh R. Autophagy and Mitochondria in Obesity and Type 2 Diabetes [J]. *Curr Diabetes Rev*, 2017, 13(4): 352-369
- [15] Volaco A, Cavalcanti AM, Filho RP, et al. Socioeconomic Status: The Missing Link Between Obesity and Diabetes Mellitus? [J]. *Curr Diabetes Rev*, 2018, 14(4): 321-326
- [16] Gulati S, Misra A. Abdominal obesity and type 2 diabetes in Asian Indians: dietary strategies including edible oils, cooking practices and sugar intake[J]. *Eur J Clin Nutr*, 2017, 71(7): 850-857
- [17] Hu L, Huang X, You C, et al. Prevalence of overweight, obesity, abdominal obesity and obesity-related risk factors in southern China [J]. *PLoS One*, 2017, 12(9): e0183934
- [18] Federico A, Dallio M, DI Sarno R, et al. Gut microbiota, obesity and metabolic disorders [J]. *Minerva Gastroenterol Dietol*, 2017, 63(4): 337-344
- [19] 张梦知, 徐志华, 蔡晓楠, 等. 多排螺旋 CT 图像半自动分割技术对北方健康人群腹部脂肪分布的综合分析 [J]. 中国现代医学杂志, 2018, 28(16): 108-112
- [20] Kwon S, Han AL. The Correlation between the Ratio of Visceral Fat Area to Subcutaneous Fat Area on Computed Tomography and Lipid Accumulation Product as Indexes of Cardiovascular Risk [J]. *J Obes Metab Syndr*, 2019, 28(3): 186-193
- [21] 刘德丰, 陆强, 丁伟利, 等. 男性腹型肥胖患者腹部脂肪面积与胰岛素抵抗的相关性分析[J]. 中国综合临床, 2014, 30(7): 724-726
- [22] Kim EH, Kim HK, Bae SJ, et al. Gender differences of visceral fat area for predicting incident type 2 diabetes in Koreans [J]. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract*, 2018, 146(6): 93-100
- [23] Rehman K, Akash MSH, Liaqat A, et al. Role of Interleukin-6 in Development of Insulin Resistance and Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus[J]. *Crit Rev Eukaryot Gene Expr*, 2017, 27(3): 229-236
- [24] Seyyed Abootorabi M, Ayremliou P, Behroozi-Lak T, et al. The effect of vitamin D supplementation on insulin resistance, visceral fat and adiponectin in vitamin D deficient women with polycystic ovary syndrome: a randomized placebo-controlled trial [J]. *Gynecol Endocrinol*, 2018, 34(6): 489-494
- [25] Pagnotti GM, Styner M, Uzer G, et al. Combating osteoporosis and obesity with exercise: leveraging cell mechanosensitivity [J]. *Nat Rev Endocrinol*, 2019, 15(6): 339-355
- [26] Urano T, Inoue S. Recent genetic discoveries in osteoporosis, sarcopenia and obesity[J]. *Endocr J*, 2015, 62(6): 475-484
- [27] Wells A, Romberger DJ, Thiele GM, et al. systemic IL-6 Effector Response in Mediating Systemic Bone Loss Following Inhalation of Organic Dust[J]. *J Interferon Cytokine Res*, 2017, 37(1): 9-19
- [28] Nam SY, Choi IJ, Ryu KH, et al. The effect of abdominal visceral fat, circulating inflammatory cytokines, and leptin levels on reflux esophagitis[J]. *J Neurogastroenterol Motil*, 2015, 21(2): 247-254
- [29] Xu Y, Ma X, Pan X, et al. Correlations between serum concentration of three bone-derived factors and obesity and visceral fat accumulation in a cohort of middle aged men and women [J]. *Cardiovasc Diabetol*, 2018, 17(1): 143
- [30] Jung JK, Kim HJ, Lee HK, et al. Fracture incidence and risk of osteoporosis in female type 2 diabetic patients in Korea [J]. *Diabetes Metab J*, 2012, 36 (2): 144-150

(上接第 1522 页)

- [24] 孔臣臣, 张晓君, 崔龙, 等. 解剖性与非解剖性肝叶切除治疗原发性肝癌的疗效分析[J]. 贵州医药, 2018, 42(5): 571-572
- [25] 吕运海, 张焕常, 申林杰, 等. 解剖性肝叶切除术治疗原发性肝癌患者对肝功能及预后的影响 [J]. 实用肝脏病杂志, 2017, 20(4): 504-506
- [26] 王兵, 阎立凯. 腹腔镜下解剖性肝切除术对原发性肝癌的疗效及对相关血清学指标和预后的影响[J]. 肝脏, 2019, 24(3): 283-285
- [27] 张立献, 张伟, 王国珍, 等. 腹腔镜下不同肝切除方式治疗原发性肝癌的临床疗效分析[J]. 肝胆外科杂志, 2018, 26(2): 119-122
- [28] 牛楠. 联合检测血清 AFP mRNA、h-TERT mRNA、CD44v6 mRNA 和 MAGE mRNA 对原发性肝癌术后复发转移的早期预测价值 [D]. 宁波大学, 2017
- [29] 李嘉妍, 宋金云, 王建芳, 等. AFP、CA19-9、CEA 联合检测对原发性肝癌的早期诊断价值 [J]. 临床肝胆病杂志, 2017, 33 (7): 1291-1295
- [30] 周超毅, 马金良, 英卫东, 等. 实时超声导航下解剖性肝段切除在原发性肝癌治疗中的应用及疗效分析 [J]. 中国普通外科杂志, 2017, 26(1): 6-12