

doi: 10.13241/j.cnki.pmb.2019.06.017

## 吻合器痔上黏膜环切术联合外剥内扎手术治疗III-IV度环状混合痔的疗效及对患者肛门功能的影响\*

刘超<sup>1</sup> 王锐<sup>2△</sup> 王振彪<sup>1</sup> 王垚<sup>3</sup> 彭俊付<sup>1</sup>

(1首都医科大学附属北京世纪坛医院中医外科 北京 100038;

2北京中医药大学东直门医院肛肠外科 北京 100700;3首都医科大学附属北京怀柔医院普外科 北京 101400)

**摘要目的:**研究吻合器痔上黏膜环切术(PPH)联合外剥内扎手术(MMH)治疗III-IV度环状混合痔的疗效及对患者肛门功能的影响。**方法:**选择2016年3月~2018年3月我院收治的III-IV度环状混合痔患者128例为研究对象,将入选患者按照随机数字表法分为MMH组(n=58,采用MMH治疗)和联合组(n=70,采用PPH联合MMH治疗),比较两组手术指标及术后恢复情况,术后对两组患者进行为期3个月的随访,随访结束后,比较两组治疗疗效、肛门功能以及并发症的发生情况。**结果:**与MMH组比较,联合组手术出血量明显更少,住院时间、伤口愈合时间明显更短,各时间点的疼痛评分明显降低( $P<0.05$ )。与MMH组比较,联合组治疗总有效率升高,差异有统计学意义( $P<0.05$ )。与MMH组比较,联合组患者肛管静息压、肛管高压带长度、直肠肛门抑制反射阳性率均升高,肛管最大收缩压降低( $P<0.05$ ),但两组直肠静息压比较差异无统计学意义( $P>0.05$ )。联合组并发症发生率为10.00%(7/70),低于MMH组的34.48%(20/58),差异有统计学意义( $P<0.05$ )。**结论:**较传统的MMH单独治疗,PPH联合MMH治疗III-IV度环状混合痔具有更好的效果,可以缩短术后恢复时间,改善术后疼痛程度及肛门功能,且安全性较高。

**关键词:**环状混合痔;吻合器痔上黏膜环切术;外剥内扎手术;疗效;肛门功能

中图分类号:R576; R657.51 文献标识码:A 文章编号:1673-6273(2019)06-1083-04

## Curative Effect of Procedure for Prolapse and Hemorrhoids Combined with Milligan-morgan Haemorrhoidectomy in Treatment of III-IV Degree Mixed Hemorrhoids and Its Effect on Anal Function of Patients\*

LIU Chao<sup>1</sup>, WANG Ru<sup>2△</sup>, WANG Zhen-biao<sup>1</sup>, WANG Yao<sup>3</sup>, PENG Jun-fu<sup>1</sup>

(1 Department of Traditional Chinese Medicine Surgery, Beijing Shijitan Hospital, Capital Medical University, Beijing, 100038, China;

2 Department of Anus & Intestine Surgery, Dongzhimen Hospital, Beijing University of Chinese Medicine, Beijing, 100700, China;

3 Department of General Surgery, Beijing Huairou Hospital, Capital Medical University, Beijing, 101400, China)

**ABSTRACT Objective:** To study curative effect of procedure for?prolapse and hemorrhoids (PPH) combined with milligan-morgan haemorrhoidectomy (MMH) in treatment of III-IV degree mixed hemorrhoids and its effect on anal function of patients. **Methods:** 128 patients with III-IV degree mixed hemorrhoids who were treated in our hospital from March 2016 to March 2018 were selected as research object, the enrolled patients were divided into MMH group (n=58, treated with MMH) and combined group (n=70, treated with PPH combined with MMH) according to random number table method. Operation indexes and postoperative recovery of two groups were compared. The patients in the two groups were followed up for 6 months after operation. After the end of the follow-up, the curative effect, anal function and complications of the two groups were compared. **Results:** Compared with the MMH group, surgical bleeding volume in the combined group was significantly less, hospital stay, wound healing time were shorter, and the pain scores at each time point were significantly lower ( $P<0.05$ ). Compared with group MMH, the total effective rate of combined group was significantly increased, and the difference was statistically significant( $P<0.05$ ). Compared with MMH group, the anal canal resting pressure, the length of anorectal hyperbaric zone and anorectal reflex positive rate in the combined group were significantly increased, anal maximum systolic pressure was decreased( $P<0.05$ ), however, there was no significant difference in rectal resting pressure between the two groups ( $P>0.05$ ). The incidence of complications in the combined group was 10.00% (7/70), which was significantly lower than 34.48%(20/58) in the MMH group, and the difference was statistically significant ( $P<0.05$ ). **Conclusion:** Compared with the traditional MMH treatment alone, PPH combined with MMH has better effect in the treatment of III-IV degree mixed hemorrhoids. It can shorten postoperative recovery time, improve postoperative pain level and anal function, and it has higher safety.

**Key words:** Circumferential mixed hemorrhoids; Procedure for prolapse and hemorrhoids; Milligan-morgan haemorrhoidectomy;

\* 基金项目:北京市自然科学基金项目(7023374)

作者简介:刘超(1985-),男,硕士,住院医师,从事大肠肛门病方面的研究,E-mail: ywetoe@163.com

△通讯作者:王锐(1981-),男,博士,副主任医师,从事中西医结合治疗大肠肛门疾病方面的研究,E-mail: qweogn@163.com

(收稿日期:2018-08-07 接受日期:2018-08-31)

Curative effect; Anal function

Chinese Library Classification(CLC): R576; R657.51 Document code: A

Article ID: 1673-6273(2019)06-1083-04

## 前言

痔是肛肠科常见疾病,又被称为痔疮,其在任何年龄群体均可发生,而且随着年龄的增加,其发病率也不断升高,对人们的健康造成严重影响<sup>[1,2]</sup>。环状混合痔是指同时被肛管皮肤和直肠粘膜所覆盖,围绕直肠肛管生长一圈所形成的痔,而Ⅲ-Ⅳ度环状混合痔是其发展的严重阶段,临床症状主要表现痔脱出、便血、水肿以及血栓的形成等,严重影响患者生活质量<sup>[3,4]</sup>。环状混合痔临床主要治疗方式为手术治疗,但手术方式多样,且各有利弊。外剥内扎手术(Milligan-morgan haemorrhoidectomy, MMH)是环状混合痔传统的治疗术式,该术式操作简单,是治疗单发环状混合痔比较有效的方法,然而其存在术后并发症较多、痔核切除量小、创面难愈合、易复发等缺点<sup>[5,6]</sup>,因而寻求更有效的环状混合痔术式显得尤为迫切。吻合器痔上黏膜环切术(Procedure for prolapse and hemorrhoids, PPH)是通过环形吻合器切除与痔核粘连的黏膜以治疗混合痔的术式,其具有并发症少、创面愈合快、切口小、可减轻术后疼痛等特点<sup>[7,8]</sup>。但既往报道显示<sup>[9]</sup>,PPH 对患者外痔并不能完全切除。鉴于此,本研究采用 PPH 联合 MMH 的术式治疗Ⅲ-Ⅳ度环状混合痔,并对其效果进行分析,以期为Ⅲ-Ⅳ度环状混合痔术式的选择提供参考,阐述如下。

## 1 资料与方法

### 1.1 临床资料

选择 2016 年 3 月 ~2018 年 3 月 128 例我院收治的Ⅲ-Ⅳ度环状混合痔患者为研究对象,纳入标准:(1)患者均符合《痔临床诊治指南(2006 版)》<sup>[10]</sup>中关于Ⅲ-Ⅳ度环状混合痔的诊断标准;(2)使用过药物治疗;(3)患者肛门功能正常,且无松弛弛现象发生;(4)患者对本研究知情同意,并签署同意书。排除标准:(1)伴有恶性肿瘤者;(2)伴有心、肝、肾等重要脏器功能不全者;(3)妊娠或者哺乳期女性;(4)合并有精神疾病无法正常交流沟通者;(5)凝血功能障碍者。本研究经医院伦理委员会批准通过。将入选患者按照随机数字表法分为 MMH 组(n=58)和联合组(n=70)。MMH 组男性 32 例,女性 26 例;年龄 30-76 岁,平均(48.23±8.14)岁;病程 7-35 年,平均(21.98±5.56)年;痔疮分度:Ⅲ 度 38 例,Ⅳ 度 20 例。联合组男性 43 例,女性 27 例;年龄 31-78 岁,平均(47.99±8.83)岁;病程 7-37 年,平均(22.05±4.97)年;痔疮分度:Ⅲ 度 44 例,Ⅳ 度 26 例。两组临床资料比较无统计学差异( $P>0.05$ ),均衡可比。

### 1.2 方法

所有患者均在术前进行抗感染治疗(女性患者还需进行阴道检查),并清洁灌肠,同时行骶管麻醉或腰麻,在此基础上,MMH 组患者采用传统的 MMH 术式进行治疗。患者取膀胱截石位,对肛门常规消毒,充分扩张肛门以暴露痔核,在环状混合痔的外痔底部切一个“V”型切口,将外痔采用梭形的方式进行剥离,剥离至齿线 0.5 cm 处内痔暴露时,用血管钳夹住内痔底

部,并用可吸收线进行“8”字缝针,随后充分止血。联合组在抗感染治疗、清洁灌肠、麻醉后,采用 PPH 联合 MMH 进行治疗。内痔切除:患者取膀胱截石位,对肛门及会阴部进行常规消毒,充分扩肛至宽度可容纳三个手指时,用组织钳牵拉肛管缓慢外移,插入肛管扩张器并用丝线固定,至齿状线暴露时取出内筒,分别在齿状线 3 cm 处和 5 cm 处进行荷包逆行缝合,以缝合至黏膜下层为缝合深度的标准。最大限度的扩张吻合器头部,将其钉座放在荷包线的远端,收紧荷包线并打结,从吻合器侧面带出缝线并缓慢向外牵拉,等吻合器完全收紧时,触发保险装置以关闭吻合器,持续 20-30s 后,取出吻合器,并加强止血。外痔切除:PPH 完成后,将外痔组织上提,底部行“V”型切口并进行梭形剥离,然后再进行止血。两组患者在手术完成 6h 后可给予半流质进食,术后当天禁止排便,术后 3-7d 内给予抗生素治疗。

### 1.3 观察指标

1.3.1 手术及术后恢复指标 包括手术出血量、住院时间、术后疼痛评分、伤口愈合时间。分别在术后 1d、首次排便以及术后 7d 采用视觉模拟评分(Visual analogue scale, VAS)评估术后疼痛程度,该评分量表分值范围在 0-10 分之间,分值越高代表疼痛越剧烈。

1.3.2 疗效评价 术后对患者进行为期 3 个月的随访,随访结束后,评价两组疗效,分为三个等级,治愈:术后 3 个月,患者痔块完全回缩,临床症状完全消失,直肠结构及功能恢复正常;有效:术后 3 个月,患者痔块明显变小,临床症状明显减轻,直肠结构及功能有明显好转;无效:术后 3 个月,患者痔块无明显变化,且临床症状和直肠功能无改善。总有效率 = 治愈率 + 有效率<sup>[11]</sup>。

1.3.3 肛门功能 术后 3 个月,采用肛肠压力检测仪(型号:XDJ-S8 型,合肥凯利光电科技有限公司)检测两组患者肛门功能相关指标(包括肛管静息压、肛管高压带长度、肛管最大收缩压、直肠静息压及直肠肛门抑制反射阳性率),并记录结果。

1.3.4 并发症 观察并记录两组并发症的发生情况。

### 1.4 统计学方法

采用 SPSS23.0 统计学软件对研究数据进行处理。手术及术后恢复指标、肛管静息压等计量资料以( $\bar{x}\pm s$ )表示,行 t 检验;疗效、直肠肛门抑制反射阳性率等计数资料以[n(%)]表示,行  $\chi^2$  检验。将  $\alpha=0.05$  作为检验标准。

## 2 结果

### 2.1 两组手术及术后恢复指标比较

与 MMH 组比较,联合组手术出血量明显更少,住院时间、伤口愈合时间明显更短,各时间点的疼痛评分明显降低( $P<0.05$ )。详见表 1。

### 2.2 两组治疗疗效比较

与 MMH 组比较,联合组治疗总有效率升高,差异有统计学意义( $P<0.05$ )。详见表 2。

表 1 两组手术及术后恢复指标比较( $\bar{x} \pm s$ )Table 1 Comparison of surgical and postoperative recovery indexes of two groups( $\bar{x} \pm s$ )

Groups	n	Surgical bleeding volume(mL)	Hospital stay(d)	Postoperative pain score(score)			Wound healing time(d)
				Postoperative 1d	First defecation	Postoperative 7d	
Combined group	70	21.58± 3.65	4.89± 1.09	2.03± 0.49	1.86± 0.26	1.53± 0.19	6.89± 1.82
MMH group	58	32.99± 4.27	8.62± 2.58	8.02± 1.12	7.56± 1.08	6.57± 0.86	17.46± 2.79
t	-	17.587	10.978	40.352	42.720	47.684	25.772
P	-	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000

表 2 两组治疗疗效比较[n(%)]

Table 2 Comparison of the therapeutic effect of two groups[n(%)]

Groups	n	Cure	Effective	Invalid	Total effective rate
Combined group	70	49(70.00)	20(28.57)	1(1.43)	69(98.57)
MMH group	58	25(43.10)	27(46.55)	6(10.35)	52(89.65)
$\chi^2$	-				4.878
P	-				0.027

### 2.3 两组肛门功能比较

与 MMH 组比较,联合组患者肛管静息压、肛管高压带长

度、直肠肛门抑制反射阳性率均升高,肛管最大收缩压降低( $P<0.05$ ),但两组直肠静息压比较差异不显著( $P>0.05$ )。详见表 3。

表 3 两组肛门功能比较

Table 3 Comparison of anal function of two groups

Groups	n	Anal canal resting pressure(mmHg)	Length of anorectal hyperbaric zone(cm)	Anal maximum systolic pressure(mmHg)	Rectal resting pressure(mmHg)	Anorectal reflex positive rate[n(%)]
Combined group	70	60.11± 6.35	4.03± 0.58	143.14± 24.38	6.01± 1.28	62(88.57)
MMH group	58	47.59± 5.14	2.51± 0.92	152.75± 21.53	6.08± 1.12	40(68.97)
$t/\chi^2$	-	12.087	11.368	2.340	0.326	7.532
P	-	0.000	0.000	0.021	0.745	0.006

### 2.4 两组并发症比较

联合组并发症发生率为 10.00%(7/70), 低于 MMH 组的

表 4 两组并发症发生情况比较[n(%)]

Table 4 Comparison of the incidence of complications in the two groups[n(%)]

Groups	n	Perianal edema	Postoperative skin tag	Anal dilatation	Bloody stool	Anus stenosis	Total incidence
Combined group	70	2(2.86)	0(0.00)	1(1.43)	2(2.86)	2(2.86)	7(10.00)
MMH group	58	6(10.34)	3(5.17)	2(3.45)	4(6.90)	5(8.62)	20(34.48)
$\chi^2$	-						11.423
P	-						0.001

### 3 讨论

痔的发生多因患者肛垫下移和静脉曲张造成,随着人们生活方式和饮食习惯的改变,诱发痔的危险因素也不断增加,久坐久立、长期饮酒、便秘等均可引发痔的产生<sup>[12,13]</sup>。痔按照发生部位的不同分为内痔、外痔和混合痔,混合痔有内外痔的共同特点,而环形混合痔是内外痔相互融合并脱出肛门形成的梅花形状痔,其治疗难度较大,一般需进行手术治疗<sup>[14,15]</sup>。MMH 作为传统的环形混合痔治疗术式,是基于痔是由静脉曲张造成的

静脉团的理论指导下进行的手术,其操作简单易行,但术后将出现一系列并发症,容易复发,同时对肛垫的破坏较严重<sup>[16-18]</sup>。随着肛垫下移学说的产生,痔的治疗演变成肛垫恢复手术,由此,PPH 应运而生。PPH 术式一方面通过切除直肠黏膜及其下层组织,上提肛垫使其回位保持正常的生理位置,以阻止其下移,另一方面由于手术中黏膜组织的切断,阻断了痔区的血供,造成痔核缺血并最终萎缩<sup>[19-21]</sup>。但有报道显示<sup>[22]</sup>,患者行 PPH 术式后,部分外痔仍然不能完全切除,同时部分肛垫回缩效果不佳,因此,需配合 MMH 术式将外痔彻底切除。

本研究中,联合组采用 PPH 联合 MMH 的术式治疗Ⅲ-Ⅳ度环形混合痔,结果显示,与 MMH 组比较,联合组手术出血量明显更少,住院时间、伤口愈合时间明显更短,各时间点的疼痛评分明显降低,同时,联合组治疗总有效率明显升高,说明联合两种手术方式可有效提高治疗有效率,减少手术出血量,减轻术后疼痛,具有更好的术后恢复效果。可能是因为 PPH 与 MMH 的联合使用,虽然增加了切口的数量,但是切口均较小,整体创口不大,可有效减少出血量<sup>[23]</sup>;而 PPH 切除内痔主要在受植物神经支配的齿状线以上操作,此处的神经对手术造成的疼痛并不敏感,同时 PPH 术式可使部分外痔回缩至肛门,减少了切口大小,有利于加速创口愈合和减轻疼痛,缩短了住院时间,因此,联合两种术式可以增加治疗效果,减少了疼痛,加快术后恢复<sup>[24-26]</sup>。本研究还显示,与 MMH 组比较,联合组患者肛管静息压、肛管高压带长度、直肠肛门抑制反射阳性率均升高,肛管最大收缩压降低,提示两种术式的联合使用更有利于肛门功能的恢复。传统的 MMH 术式未彻底解决患者肛门下移的病理改变问题,因此其复发现象时有发生<sup>[27,28]</sup>;而 PPH 术式对肛垫下移病理改变进行针对性的处理,最大程度上保留了肛垫的完整性,同时对肛门内部神经末梢以及直肠组织的损伤程度较低,因此可以保证肛门和直肠功能的正常运行<sup>[29,30]</sup>。另外,联合组不良反应发生率低于 MMH 组,说明两种术式的联合使用可以降低患者并发症的发生,分析原因可能与联合组中两种术式可以取长补短,共同发挥治疗效果有关。值得注意的是本研究并未对复发情况进行分析,在以后的研究中可以加大样本量进行复发情况的考察,同时,PPH 术式需要用到吻合器,费用相应会增高,相比传统的 MMH,这也是联合使用的明显不足。

综上所述,采用 PPH 联合 MMH 治疗Ⅲ-Ⅳ 度环状混合痔临床疗效较好,两种术式可以取长补短,能够加速术后恢复,有效减轻患者疼痛,同时可改善患者肛门功能,减小并发症发生的风险。

#### 参考文献(References)

- [1] Song Y, Chen H, Yang F, et al. Transanal hemorrhoidal dearterialization versus stapled hemoroidectomy in the treatment of hemorrhoids: A PRISMA-compliant updated meta-analysis of randomized control trials [J]. Medicine (Baltimore), 2018, 97(29): e11502
- [2] Trenti L, Biondo S, Galvez A, et al. Correction to: Distal Doppler-guided transanal hemorrhoidal dearterialization with mucopexy versus conventional hemoroidectomy for grade III and IV hemorrhoids: postoperative morbidity and long-term outcomes [J]. Tech Coloproctol, 2018, 22(6): 479
- [3] Lu M, Shi GY, Wang GQ, et al. Milligan-Morgan hemoroidectomy with anal cushion suspension and partial internal sphincter resection for circumferential mixed hemorrhoids[J]. World J Gastroenterol, 2013, 19(30): 5011-5015
- [4] Huang HX, Yao YB, Tang Y. Application of 'tying, binding and fixing operation' in surgical treatment of severe mixed hemorrhoids [J]. Exp Ther Med, 2016, 12(2): 1022-1028
- [5] Haksal MC, Çiftci A, Tiryaki Ç, et al. Comparison of the reliability and efficacy of LigaSure hemoroidectomy and a conventional Milligan-Morgan hemoroidectomy in the surgical treatment of grade 3 and 4 hemorrhoids[J]. Turk J Surg, 2017, 33(4): 233-236
- [6] He YH, Tang ZJ, Xu XT, et al. A Randomized Multicenter Clinical Trial of RPH with the Simplified Milligan-Morgan Hemorrhoidectomy in the Treatment of Mixed Hemorrhoids [J]. Surg Innov, 2017, 24(6): 574-581
- [7] Iida Y, Saito H, Takashima Y, et al. Procedure for prolapse and hemorrhoids (PPH) with low rectal anastomosis using a PPH 03 stapler: low rate of recurrence and postoperative complications[J]. Int J Colorectal Dis, 2017, 32(12): 1687-1692
- [8] Qin Z, Pang L, Dai W, et al. Psychodynamic and biodynamic analysis of treatment of outlet obstructive constipation (OOC) using Procedure for Prolapse and Hemorrhoids (PPH)[J]. Med Hypotheses, 2015, 85(1): 58-60
- [9] Menconi C, Fabiani B, Giani I, et al. Persistent anal and pelvic floor pain after PPH and STARR: surgical management of the fixed scar staple line[J]. Int J Colorectal Dis, 2016, 31(1): 41-44
- [10] 中华医学会外科学分会结直肠肛门外科学组, 中华中医药学会肛肠病专业委员会,中国中西医结合学会结直肠肛门病专业委员会,等. 痔临床诊治指南 (2006 版)[J]. 中华胃肠外科杂志, 2006, 9(5): 461-463
- [11] 邹良旺,徐李娟,张伟,等.吻合器痔上黏膜环切术联合外痔切除术治疗混合痔的临床疗效[J].温州医科大学学报, 2017, 47(10): 762-765, 770
- [12] Hu WS, Lin CL. Hemorrhoid is associated with increased risk of peripheral artery occlusive disease: A nationwide cohort study [J]. J Epidemiol, 2017, 27(12): 574-577
- [13] 孙浩博,汪大伟,唐小龙,等.痔治疗的临床进展研究[J].现代生物医学进展, 2016, 16(18): 3597-3600, 3416
- [14] Garg P. Conservative Treatment of Hemorrhoids Deserves More Attention in Guidelines and Clinical Practice [J]. Dis Colon Rectum, 2018, 61(7): e348
- [15] Alshreef A, Wailoo AJ, Brown SR, et al. Cost-Effectiveness of Haemorrhoidal Artery Ligation versus Rubber Band Ligation for the Treatment of Grade II-III Haemorrhoids: Analysis Using Evidence from the HubBLE Trial[J]. Pharmacocon Open, 2017, 1(3): 175-184
- [16] Kim do S. Have Any Changes in Pain Been Noted After a Hemorroidectomy Since the Establishment of the Milligan-Morgan Hemorroidectomy?[J]. Ann Coloproctol, 2016, 32(3): 90-91
- [17] Bakhtiar N, Moosa FA, Jaleel F, et al. Comparison of hemorroidectomy by LigaSure with conventional Milligan Morgan's hemorroidectomy[J]. Pak J Med Sci, 2016, 32(3): 657-661
- [18] Lin HC, Luo HX, Zbar AP, et al. The tissue selecting technique (TST) versus the Milligan-Morgan hemorroidectomy for prolapsing hemorrhoids: a retrospective case-control study [J]. Tech Coloproctol, 2014, 18(8): 739-744
- [19] Lu M, Yang B, Liu Y, et al. Procedure for prolapse and hemorrhoids vs traditional surgery for outlet obstructive constipation [J]. World J Gastroenterol, 2015, 21(26): 8178-8183
- [20] 白金权,李柏文.吻合器痔上黏膜环形切除术治疗老年中重度内痔的临床效果[J].中国老年学杂志, 2017, 37(23): 5904-5905
- [21] Ammendola M, Sammarco G, Carpino A, et al. Severe rectal bleeding following PPH-stapler procedure for haemoroidal disease [J]. G Chir, 2014, 35(11-12): 290-292

(下转第 1118 页)

- 103(3): 1139-1150
- [17] 刘一鸣,李丽,何伟波,等.甲亢及甲减患者血清瘦素水平与甲状腺激素相关性研究[J].新医学, 2015, (6): 387-390
- [18] Park E, Jung J, Araki O, et al. Concurrent TSHR mutations and DIO2 T92A polymorphism result in abnormal thyroid hormone metabolism [J]. Sci Rep, 2018, 8(1): 10090
- [19] 李美. 正常甲状腺功能病态综合征FT3、FT4和TSH与老年性疾病相关性研究[J].标记免疫分析与临床, 2017, 24(12): 1362-1365
- [20] 孙敬茹,韩梅,王露,等.左甲状腺素钠联合补硒治疗甲状腺功能减退的临床疗效及对甲状腺功能的影响[J].疑难病杂志, 2017, 16(1): 52-55, 63
- [21] Catena C, Colussi G, Nait F, et al. Elevated Homocysteine Levels Are Associated With the Metabolic Syndrome and Cardiovascular Events in Hypertensive Patients[J]. Am J Hypertens, 2015, 28(7): 943-950
- [22] Barjaktarovic M, Steegers EAP, Jaddoe VWV, et al. The Association of Thyroid Function With Maternal and Neonatal Homocysteine Concentrations[J]. J Clin Endocrinol Metab, 2017, 102(12): 4548-4556
- [23] Chen C, Xia F, Chen Y, et al. Association Between Thyroid-Stimulating Hormone and Renal Function: a Mendelian Randomization Study[J]. Kidney Blood Press Res, 2018, 43(4): 1121-1130
- [24] 刘璟,向鹏月,罗心凯,等.甲状腺癌术后停用甲状腺激素替代治疗血脂水平及对甲状腺功能的影响 [J]. 实用癌症杂志, 2018, 33(2): 230-232
- [25] Kweon SS, Shin MH, Kim HN, et al. Polymorphisms of methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase and glutathione S-transferase are not associated with the risk of papillary thyroid cancer in Korean population[J]. Mol Biol Rep, 2014, 41(6): 3793-3799
- [26] Grasberger H, Noureldin M, Kao TD, et al. Increased risk for inflammatory bowel disease in congenital hypothyroidism supports the existence of a shared susceptibility factor [J]. Sci Rep, 2018, 8(1): 10158
- [27] Han B, Cheng T, Ye L, et al. Comparison between acute kidney injury(AKI)and non-AKI patients secondary to severe hypothyroidism [J]. Clin Case Rep, 2018, 6(6): 1066-1069
- [28] Rosario PWS, Calsolari MR. Impact of subclinical hypothyroidism with  $TSH \leq 10 \text{ mIU/L}$  on glomerular filtration rate in adult women without known kidney disease[J]. Endocrine, 2018, 59(3): 694-697
- [29] Bajaj S, Purwar N, Gupta A, et al. Prevalence of hypothyroidism in nondiabetic chronic kidney disease and effect of thyroxine replacement on estimated glomerular filtration rate [J]. Indian J Nephrol, 2017, 27(2): 104-107
- [30] Olenych LV, Pylypiv LI, Bek NS, et al. Correlations between lipid metabolism indices in patients with hypertension and hypothyroidism [J]. Wiad Lek, 2018, 71(2pt1): 281-284

(上接第 1086 页)

- [22] 高志冬,王有利,韩龙,等.吻合器经肛门直肠切除术与吻合器痔上黏膜环形切除术治疗Ⅳ度痔的对比研究 [J]. 中华普通外科杂志, 2015, 30(9): 719-722
- [23] Sammarco G, Ferrari F, Carpino A, et al. PPH vs Milligan-Morgan: early and late complications in the treatment of haemorrhoidal disease with circumferential prolapse[J]. Ann Ital Chir, 2014, 85(5): 464-469
- [24] González-Macedo EA, Cosme-Reyes C, Belmonte-Montes C. Modification to stapled mucosectomy technique with PPH. Experience of a surgical group[J]. Cir Cir, 2015, 83(2): 124-128
- [25] Tsang YP, Fok KL, Cheung YS, et al. Comparison of transanal haemorrhoidal dearterialisation and stapled haemorrhoidopexy in management of haemorrhoidal disease: a retrospective study and literature review[J]. Tech Coloproctol, 2014, 18(11): 1017-1022
- [26] 张华峰.吻合器痔上黏膜环形切除术治疗 66 例老年重度痔[J].中国现代普通外科进展, 2016, 19(1): 59-61
- [27] Ruiz-Tovar J, Duran M, Alias D, et al. Reduction of postoperative pain and improvement of patients' comfort after Milligan-Morgan hemorrhoidectomy using topical application of vitamin E ointment[J]. Int J Colorectal Dis, 2016, 31(7): 1371-1372
- [28] Bhatti MI, Sajid MS, Baig MK. Milligan-Morgan (Open) Versus Ferguson Haemorrhoidectomy (Closed): A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Published Randomized, Controlled Trials[J]. World J Surg, 2016, 40(6): 1509-1519
- [29] 王庆华,钟志风,杜金林,等.PPH 联合外痔切剥术治疗混合痔的临床疗效观察[J].浙江医学, 2014, 53(18): 1565-1567
- [30] 彭勃,白月奎.吻合器痔上黏膜环形切除术与传统痔切除术临床疗效对比分析 [J]. 中华临床医师杂志 (电子版), 2016, 10(11): 1524-1527