

doi: 10.13241/j.cnki.pmb.2018.04.022

经皮椎体成形术对骨质疏松性胸腰椎骨折患者生物力学的影响研究

何盛为^{1,2} 陈秉智^{1△} 秦睿贤¹ 杜广宇² 米立东²

(1 大连交通大学机械工程学院 辽宁 大连 116023;2 大连医科大学附属第二医院骨科 辽宁 大连 116023)

摘要目的:探讨经皮椎体成形术对骨质疏松性胸腰椎骨折患者生物力学的影响。**方法:**选取9具冻存新鲜尸体的胸腰段脊柱开展研究,并应用随机数字表法分为观察组、对照1组和对照2组,每组各3具。观察组、对照1组均制作成骨质疏松性胸腰椎骨折模型,观察组经椎弓根注入含有对比剂的低粘度骨水泥,对照1组置入椎弓根螺钉内固定,分别于术前和术后测量两组椎体的主要生物力学指标(最大抗压强度、刚度、高度),对照2组则作为参照,仅测量一次,对三组生物力学指标检测结果进行统计分析。**结果:**观察组椎体术前的最大抗压强度、刚度、高度与对照1组比较差异无统计学意义($P>0.05$),但两组术前最大抗压强度、刚度较对照2组降低,而高度较对照2组升高($P<0.05$)。观察组和对照2组术后的最大抗压强度、刚度均较对照1组升高,高度较对照1组降低($P<0.05$),而观察组术后的最大抗压强度、刚度、高度与对照2组比较差异无统计学意义($P>0.05$)。**结论:**应用经皮椎体成形术对骨质疏松性腰椎骨折患者实施治疗,能够有效恢复患者椎体生物力学,效果确切。

关键词:骨质疏松;胸腰椎骨折;经皮椎体成形术;生物力学;影响

中图分类号:R683 **文献标识码:**A **文章编号:**1673-6273(2018)04-705-04

Effects of Percutaneous Vertebroplasty on Biomechanics of Patients with Osteoporotic Thoracolumbar Fractures

HE Sheng-wei^{1,2}, CHEN Bing-zhi^{1△}, QIN Rui-xian¹, DU Guang-yu², MI Li-dong²

(1 School of Mechanical Engineering, Dalian Jiaotong University, Dalian, Liaoning, 116023, China;

2 Department of Orthopedics, The Second Affiliated Hospital of Dalian Medical University, Dalian, Liaoning, 116023, China)

ABSTRACT Objective: To investigate the effects of percutaneous vertebroplasty on the biomechanics of patients with osteoporotic thoracolumbar fractures. **Methods:** A total of 9 frozen fresh cadavers of the thoracolumbar spine were selected for this research and randomly divided into observation group ($n=3$), control group 1 ($n=3$) and control group 2 ($n=3$). The observation group and the control group 1 were made into osteoporotic thoracolumbar fracture models; the observation group was injected with low viscosity bone cement with contrast medium, and the control group 1 was treated with pedicle screw fixation; the main biomechanical indexes (maximum compressive strength, stiffness and height) of the two groups were measured before and after operation. The control group 2 was taken as a reference only, measured only once. The detection results of biomechanical indexes of the three groups were statistically analyzed.

Results: There were no significant differences in the maximum compressive strength, stiffness and height before operation between the observation group and the control group 1 ($P>0.05$). However, the maximum compressive strength and stiffness of the two groups were lower than those of the control group 2, and the height was higher than that of the control group 2 ($P<0.05$). After operation, the maximal compressive strength and stiffness of the observation group and the control group 2 were higher than those of the control group 1, and the height was lower than that of the control group 1 ($P<0.05$), while there were no significant differences in the maximum compressive strength, stiffness and height after operation between the observation group and the control group 2 ($P>0.05$). **Conclusion:** The application of percutaneous vertebroplasty in the treatment of osteoporotic vertebral fractures can effectively restore the biomechanical properties of patients, and the effects are exact.

Key words: Osteoporosis; Thoracolumbar fractures; Percutaneous vertebroplasty; Biomechanics; Effects

Chinese Library Classification(CLC): R683 **Document code:** A

Article ID: 1673-6273(2018)04-705-04

前言

胸腰椎骨折为我国临床最为常见的一种技术损伤,各年龄段均可发病,青壮年患者多由交通事故、工伤等高能量损伤所致,老年患者则多为骨质疏松性胸腰椎骨折^[1-3]。步入新世纪以

来,我国人口老龄化进程不断加快,骨质疏松性胸腰椎骨折患者的发病数量呈现出逐年增长的趋势,不仅严重影响患者生活质量,也给我国社会带来了一定程度的经济负担^[4]。我国临床对于骨折后无神经损伤、后凸角度小于20°、椎管侵占小于30%、椎体压缩低于50%的胸腰椎骨折患者建议接受保守治

作者简介:何盛为(1969-),男,硕士,主任医师,从事创伤骨科、关节置换、生物力学方面的研究,E-mail: kphfd@163.com

△ 通讯作者:陈秉智(1971-),男,博士,教授,从事虚拟样机仿真,生物力学,多学科优化设计方面的研究,E-mail: utfegh@163.com

(收稿日期:2017-04-29 接受日期:2017-05-25)

疗,不符合上述条件的胸腰椎骨折患者均需接受手术治疗^[5,6]。椎弓根螺钉内固定是我国临床治疗脊椎骨折、畸形的传统手术方式,疗效确切^[7]。伴随着外科手术技术的不断进步,经皮椎体成形术问世,为胸腰椎骨折的临床治疗提供了新的方向,但关于该种手术方式对患者骨折椎体生物力学的影响尚无系统性的研究,对于该种手术方式的疗效也尚存在一些争议^[8,9]。针对上述现状,本研究以新鲜尸体为研究标本,分析经皮椎体成形术对骨质疏松性胸腰椎骨折患者生物力学的影响,现将研究过程及结果进行以下整理报道。

1 资料与方法

1.1 一般资料

本研究使用9具冻存的新鲜尸体开展研究,胸腰段取区域骨密度0.7~1.0 g/cm²,均判定为轻度骨质疏松。应用随机数字表法将9具尸体分为观察组、对照1组、对照2组,每组各3具尸体,均为2具男尸,1具女尸,观察组年龄59~72岁,平均(60.5±4.7)岁,体重52~76kg,平均(63.6±4.5)kg,身高160~175cm,平均(167.2±3.1)cm。对照1组年龄58~75岁,平均(60.9±4.2)岁,体重56~71kg,平均(64.6±4.5)kg,身高158~173cm,平均(166.9±2.8)cm。对照2组年龄55~73岁,平均(60.4±4.4)岁,体重49~75kg,平均(65.2±3.5)kg,身高164~173cm,平均(169.5±3.1)cm。三组尸体在性别比例、年龄、体重、身高上存在的差异均无统计学意义(P>0.05),具有可比性。本研究在医院伦理委员会批准的前提下开展。

1.2 研究方法

1.2.1 标本处理 取三组尸体胸腰椎椎体作为研究标本,节段为T₁₂~L₂,包括三个椎体和两个椎间盘,并保留附件和相关韧带。将标本放置在室温下自然冻融,浇灌医院骨水泥保证脊柱上下两端水平,对椎体进行编号,在术前应用电子数字显示卡尺(购自深圳市汉普检测仪器有限公司)测量椎体的前径、后径、前侧高度、后侧高度、左侧高度、右侧高度,测量结果精确到0.01mm。

1.2.2 骨质疏松性胸腰椎骨折模型建立 取观察组、对照1组尸体胸腰段椎体建立骨质疏松性胸腰椎骨折模型,具体制备方法为:将观察组、对照1组椎体逐一置于万能材料试验机(购自济南中路昌试验机制造有限公司)的夹板中固定上下两端,滚珠加载,压力感受器最大值设置为100kN,位移应用光栅数显高精度测微仪(购自温州三和量具仪器有限公司),精确到0.1%,使用千分表辅助,加载速度设置为每分钟5mm。建模前先在椎体上打孔,以便建模时造成骨折。确定椎体中心后在前屈方向进行滚珠加载,使椎体中部发生楔形塌陷,形成骨折。

1.2.3 实验方法 观察组椎体进行经皮椎体成形术实验方法,具体实验方法为:根据X线检查结果确定穿刺点,使用11G骨髓穿刺活检针经一侧椎弓根进行穿刺,穿刺针保持向内斜行15°进入椎体中前三分之一交界处。在低粘度骨水泥(40g)中加入8g高纯度(纯度为99.999%)硫酸钡粉,充分混匀后再于骨水泥中加入单体聚合液,将骨水泥混匀至稍稀糊状,使用6mL注射器抽取2.5mL骨水泥,在影像学工具的引导下将骨水泥加压注射至椎体的骨折孔隙中。对侧椎体也以相同的方法注射骨水泥,使每个椎体内注射的骨水泥均为5.0mL,注射结束

后1小时进行生物力学相关指标测试。

对照1组椎体进行椎弓根螺钉内固定实验,具体实验方法为:椎弓根内固定螺钉长度45mm,直径为5.5mm,螺距2mm,实验中置入深度以螺钉长度为上限。在对照1组椎体相临的上下椎体两侧椎弓根共置入4枚内固定螺钉,术中避免内固定螺钉穿破椎弓根,按常规椎弓根固定方式进行固定,将内固定螺钉的内固定棒分别固定于螺钉尾部,椎体高度与术前相同。对照2组为空白参照。

1.2.4 生物力学指标测量 本研究选取椎体主要生物力学指标,具体包括最大抗压强度、刚度、高度。最大抗压强度测量方法:将每个测试椎体放入两钢板之间进行生物力学指标测量,将加载位点设置在椎体前方皮质后侧5mm处,调整好位置后以每分钟5mm的加载速率进行轴向压缩,系统自动采集位移数据和显示载荷-位移曲线,该曲线上的第一个峰值即为椎体的最大抗压强度。

刚度测量方法:载荷-位移曲线上448N~1112N之间的直线部分的斜率作为椎体的刚度。高度:各椎体压缩后的高度平均值即为高度。

1.3 观察指标

对比三组椎体建模后(术前)、实验后(术后)的最大抗压强度、刚度以及高度。

1.4 数据分析处理

本研究基于统计学软件(版本SPSS22.0)建立数据分析模型,计数资料以率(%)的形式描述,指标间差异采用卡方(χ^2)检验,计量资料以均数±标准差($\bar{x} \pm s$)的形式描述,指标间差异采用独立样本(t)检验,多组计量资料比较采用F检验,P值小于0.05表示两者之间存在的差异具有统计学意义。

2 结果

2.1 三组术前最大抗压强度、刚度、高度比较

三组椎体的术前生物力学指标整体比较发现,最大抗压强度、刚度无统计学差异(P>0.05),高度有统计学差异(P<0.05);观察组椎体术前的最大抗压强度、刚度、高度与对照1组比较差异无统计学意义(P>0.05),但两组术前最大抗压强度、刚度均低于对照2组,而高度高于对照2组,差异具有统计学意义(P<0.05),具体数据见表1所示。

2.2 三组术后最大抗压强度、刚度、高度比较

三组术后最大抗压强度、刚度、高度整体比较有统计学差异(P<0.05),观察组和对照2组术后的最大抗压强度、刚度均高于对照1组,高度低于对照1组,差异均有统计学意义(P<0.05),而观察组术后的最大抗压强度、刚度、高度与对照2组比较差异无统计学意义(P>0.05),具体研究数据见表2所示。

3 讨论

骨质疏松性胸腰椎骨折的发生造成的负面危害较大,及时采取有效方案对患者实施治疗以恢复患者伤椎生物力学是促进患者早日康复的关键^[10]。经皮椎体成形术是现阶段我国临床治疗骨质疏松性胸腰椎骨折较常用的一种治疗方式^[11]。本研究分析经皮椎体成形术对骨质疏松性胸腰椎骨折患者生物力学的影响,旨在为患者选择手术治疗方案提供参考价值。近年来

表 1 对比三组术前最大抗压强度、刚度、高度($\bar{x}\pm s$)Table 1 Comparison of maximum compressive strength, stiffness and height before operation among three groups ($\bar{x}\pm s$)

| Groups | Maximum compressive strength (N) | Stiffness (N/mm) | Height (mm) |
|-------------------|----------------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| Observation group | 1498.3± 421.3* | 1013.8± 212.8* | 7.6± 0.9* |
| Control group 1 | 1493.7± 419.5* | 1025.7± 214.6* | 7.7± 1.1* |
| Control group 2 | 1946.2± 422.7 | 1102.9± 209.8 | 0.0 |
| F | 0.516 | 0.713 | 6.936 |
| P | 0.429 | 0.388 | 0.000 |

Note: compared with the control group 2, *P<0.05.

表 2 对比三组术后最大抗压强度、刚度、高度($\bar{x}\pm s$)Table 2 Comparison of maximum compressive strength, stiffness and height after operation among three groups ($\bar{x}\pm s$)

| Groups | Maximum compressive strength (N) | Stiffness (N/mm) | Height (mm) |
|-------------------|----------------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| Observation group | 1937.6± 415.4* | 1098.7± 205.7* | 0.3± 0.1* |
| Control group 1 | 1678.1± 421.3 | 1069.5± 203.2 | 2.3± 0.3 |
| Control group 2 | 1946.2± 422.7* | 1102.9± 209.8* | 0.0* |
| F | 1.462 | 1.918 | 2.402 |
| P | 0.039 | 0.025 | 0.000 |

Note: compared with the control group 1, *P<0.05.

世界范围的胸腰椎骨折主流生物力学实验研究均认为 25% 压缩性骨折模型较易进行比较,若大于该压缩比例,实验过程中容易出现骨水泥渗漏的现象,继而易导致实验结果出现误差^[12-14]。故我院本次研究中将骨折模型的压缩比设置为 25%,以确保研究结果的准确性和客观性。

本研究分别以椎弓根螺钉内固定椎体模型和空白标本作为对照,深入分析经皮椎体成形术治疗骨质疏松性胸腰椎骨折对患者椎体生物力学指标的影响。研究过程中发现观察组椎体的压力曲线上升起始较为缓慢,出现这一状态的原因主要与椎体脊柱功能单位中两个椎间盘和发生骨质疏松椎体的蠕变等因素有关,随着位移的变化,蠕变因素也会逐渐被消除,观察组椎体的抗压强度曲线的上升速度也会加快^[15,16]。而正常椎体的载荷 - 位移曲线形状类似于字母 "S",当椎体抗压强度达到一定的峰值后就会出现下降的趋势,经一定的位移后再度回升^[17,18]。观察组椎体的载荷 - 位移曲线出现上述变化趋势表明经皮椎体成形术中注入的骨水泥能够填充骨折间隙,使椎体有足够的支撑力。对照 1 组椎体早期的载荷 - 位移曲线上升速度较快,但其最大抗压强度曲线随着椎体高度的降低而降低,位移也逐渐加大,这一变化趋势表明内固定螺钉具有一定的弹性模量和较细螺钉对抗压力的局限性。

本研究以中老年尸体胸腰段椎体作为研究标本,经骨密度检测均符合轻度骨质疏松判定标准,研究样本均衡性较好。本研究总结经皮椎体成形术和椎弓根螺钉内固定的生物力学特点为:(1)经皮椎体成形术:实验过程中在观察组椎体中注入骨水泥发现,骨水泥能够较好的填充骨折椎体的骨折间隙,水泥与骨界面的紧密接触是保证椎体获得基础力学的前提条件^[19-21]。(2)椎弓根螺钉内固定:观察发现螺钉置入后的压力作用会对椎体产生一定程度的剪切力,该剪切力的存在会对椎体的稳定性产生影响^[22,23]。此外,椎体的抗压强度和刚度仅靠几根内

固定螺钉承载压力较大,故生物力学较注入骨水泥差^[24]。

本次研究显示观察组、对照 1 组椎体术前的最大抗压强度、刚度、高度比较均不存在明显差异,但与对照 2 组比较均存在明显差异。观察组椎体术后的最大抗压强度、刚度、高度与对照 2 组比较无明显差异,对照 1 组椎体术后的最大抗压强度、刚度、高度与对照 2 组和观察组比较均存在明显差异,提示经皮椎体成形术治疗骨质疏松性胸腰椎骨折,能够促进患者椎体生物力学恢复,分析原因为临床上的胸腰椎骨折多为前屈型损伤,应力集中在椎体的前部,因此通过向椎体偏前部注射骨水泥能够增强椎体的整体抗压强度,改善椎体生物力学性能^[25-27]。有研究对比经皮椎体成形术和椎弓根螺钉内固定治疗骨质疏松性胸腰椎骨折对患者椎体生物力学的影响,结果显示经皮椎体成形术组骨水泥分布面积均大于 50%,平均最大抗压强度、刚度均高于椎弓根螺钉内固定组^[28-30]。我院上述研究结果与上述实践研究所得结果基本保持一致。

综上所述,本研究认为应用经皮椎体成形术对骨质疏松性腰椎骨折患者实施治疗,能够有效恢复患者椎体生物力学,效果确切。但由于本次研究的椎体样本量较少,研究过程中的相关操作有待规范,因此本次研究所得结果仍需临床进行大量实践研究进行验证,以获得科学、准确、客观的实践研究结果。

参 考 文 献(References)

- [1] Gajjar SH, Menon HJ, Chaudhari N, et al. Outcomes of Short Segment Posterior Instrumentation in Unstable Thoracolumbar Fractures [J]. J Clin Diagn Res, 2016, 10(11): RC04-RC08
- [2] 柴臻,苏鸿利,王福平,等.后路减压椎弓根螺钉内固定治疗胸腰椎骨折疗效分析[J].现代生物医学进展,2016,16(31): 6094-6096, 6113
Chai Zhen, Su Hong-li, Wang Fu-ping, et al. Clinical Analysis of Posterior Decompression and Pedicle Screw Fixation in the Treatment of Thoracolumbar Fractures [J]. Progress in Modern Biomedicine,

- 2016, 16(31): 6094-6096, 6113
- [3] Deqing L, Kejian L, Teng L, et al. Does the fracture fragment at the anterior column in thoracolumbar burst fractures get enough attention? [J]. Medicine (Baltimore), 2017, 96(6): e5936
- [4] 周廷玉,喻爱喜,钟兵,等.经皮微创椎弓根螺钉内固定与开放手术对胸腰椎骨折患者 Cobb's 角与椎体前缘高度恢复的影响[J].现代生物医学进展, 2016, 16(22): 4266-4269
- Zhou Ting-yu, Yu Ai-xi, Zhong Bing, et al. Impact of Percutaneous Minimally Invasive Pedicle Screw Fixation and Open Surgery on the Cobb Angle and Anterior Height of the Vertebral Body of Patients with Thoracolumbar Fractures [J]. Progress in Modern Biomedicine, 2016, 16(22): 4266-4269
- [5] 何方生,吴兵,盛文辉,等.椎体静脉造影在 PVP 治疗合并椎体真空征骨质疏松性胸腰椎骨折中的应用[J].中国骨与关节损伤杂志,2016, 31(11): 1132-1135
- He Fang-sheng, Wu Bing, Sheng Wen-hui, et al. Application of vertebral body venography in percutaneous vertebroplasty for treatment of osteoporotic thoracolumbar fractures combined with vertebral vacuum syndrome [J]. Chinese Journal of Bone and Joint Injury, 2016, 31(11): 1132-1135
- [6] 邵明星,王峰,石晶,等.马骨续筋胶囊结合经皮椎体成形术治疗老年骨质疏松性胸腰椎骨折的早期疗效分析[J].临床和实验医学杂志, 2016, 15(9): 894-896, 897
- Shao Ming-xing, Wang Feng, Shi Jing, et al. Early clinical effect of the treatment of elderly patients osteoporotic thoracolumbar fractures with the combination of horse bone and percutaneous vertebral body [J]. Journal of Clinical and Experimental Medicine, 2016, 15 (9): 894-896, 897
- [7] Aly TA. Short Segment versus Long Segment Pedicle Screws Fixation in Management of Thoracolumbar Burst Fractures: Meta-Analysis[J]. Asian Spine J, 2017, 1(1): 150-160
- [8] Wang H, Zhou Y, Li C, et al. Comparison of Open Versus Percutaneous Pedicle Screw Fixation Using the Sextant System in the Treatment of Traumatic Thoracolumbar Fractures[J]. Clin Spine Surg, 2017, 30(3): E239-E246
- [9] Takura T, Yoshimatsu M, Sugimori H, et al. Cost-Effectiveness Analysis of Percutaneous Vertebroplasty for Osteoporotic Compression Fractures[J]. Clin Spine Surg, 2017, 30(3): E205-E210
- [10] Spiegl U, Jarvers JS, Heyde CE, et al. Osteoporotic vertebral body fractures of the thoracolumbar spine: indications and techniques of a 360° -stabilization[J]. Eur J Trauma Emerg Surg, 2017, 43(1): 27-33
- [11] 王令,赵红霞,华强,等.经皮椎体成形、经皮椎体后凸成形及膨胀式椎弓根钉置入内固定修复原发性骨质疏松性胸腰椎骨折[J].中国组织工程研究, 2017, 21(3): 350-355
- Wang Ling, Zhao Hong-xia, Hua Qiang, et al. Percutaneous vertebroplasty, percutaneous kyphoplasty and expansive pedicle screw fixation for repairing primary osteoporotic thoracolumbar fractures [J]. Chinese Journal of Tissue Engineering Research, 2017, 21(3): 350-355
- [12] Fu Z, Hu X, Wu Y, et al. Is There a Dose-Response Relationship of Cement Volume With Cement Leakage and Pain Relief After Vertebroplasty? [J]. Dose Response, 2016, 14(4): 1559325816682867
- [13] Jia P, Tang H, Chen H, et al. Prophylactic vertebroplasty procedure applied with a resorbable bone cement can decrease the fracture risk of sandwich vertebrae: long-term evaluation of clinical outcomes[J]. Regen Biomater, 2017, 4(1): 47-53
- [14] Kan SL, Yuan ZF, Chen LX, et al. Which is best for osteoporotic vertebral compression fractures: balloon kyphoplasty, percutaneous vertebroplasty or non-surgical treatment? A study protocol for a Bayesian network meta-analysis[J]. BMJ Open, 2017, 7(1): e012937
- [15] Yu W, Liang, Yao Z, et al. Risk factors for recollapse of the augmented vertebrae after percutaneous vertebroplasty for osteoporotic vertebral fractures with intravertebral vacuum cleft [J]. Medicine (Baltimore), 2017, 96(2): e5675
- [16] Sun H, Li C. Comparison of unilateral and bilateral percutaneous vertebroplasty for osteoporotic vertebral compression fractures: a systematic review and meta-analysis [J]. J Orthop Surg Res, 2016, 11 (1): 156
- [17] Li C, Pan J, Gu Y, et al. Minimally invasive pedicle screw fixation combined with percutaneous vertebroplasty for the treatment of thoracolumbar burst fracture[J]. Int J Surg, 2016, 36(Pt A): 255-260
- [18] 黄海,徐绍年,杜振广,等.组织间 125I 放射粒子植入联合经皮椎体成形术治疗胸腰椎转移瘤的价值 [J]. 中华肿瘤杂志, 2014, 36(3): 228-231
- Huang Hai, Xu Shao-nian, Du Zhen-guang, et al. Treatment of metastatic thoracolumbar tumors by percutaneous vertebroplasty combined with interstitial implantation of 125I seeds [J]. Chinese Journal of Oncology, 2014, 36(3): 228-231
- [19] Kilic M, Balkarli H, Balkarli A, et al. Correction and Letter to Editor based on the article "An evaluation of the functional and radiological results of percutaneous vertebroplasty versus conservative treatment for acute symptomatic osteoporotic spinal fractures"s[J]. Injury, 2016, 47(11): 2597
- [20] Ding J, Zhang Q, Zhu J, et al. Risk factors for predicting cement leakage following percutaneous vertebroplasty for osteoporotic vertebral compression fractures [J]. Eur Spine J, 2016, 25 (11): 3411-3417
- [21] Li L, Lu Y, Li M, et al. Repeat Percutaneous Vertebroplasty for Recurrent Pain Arising From Previously Treated Vertebrae in Patients With Osteoporotic Vertebral Compression Fractures [J]. Clin Spine Surg, 2016, 29(9): 399-404
- [22] Yi HJ, Jeong JH, Im SB, et al. Percutaneous Vertebroplasty versus Conservative Treatment for One Level Thoracolumbar Osteoporotic Compression Fracture: Results of an Over 2-Year Follow-up [J]. Pain Physician, 2016, 19(5): E743-E750
- [23] Zhang L, Zou J, Gan M, et al. Treatment of thoracolumbar burst fractures: short-segment pedicle instrumentation versus kyphoplasty [J]. Acta Orthop Belg, 2013, 79(6): 718-725
- [24] 刘加元,李业成,刘守正,等.单边靶点穿刺分期灌注骨水泥经皮椎体成形术治疗伴椎体内裂隙征之骨质疏松性胸腰椎骨折[J].微创医学, 2014, 9(1): 57-60
- Liu Jia-yuan, Li Ye-cheng, Liu Shou-zheng, et al. Unipedicular fractional staged percutaneous vertebroplasty in the treatment of patients with osteoporotic thoracolumbar vertebral body fracture complicated with intravertebral cleft [J]. Journal of Minimally Invasive Medicine, 2014, 9(1): 57-60

(下转第 749 页)

- ribosomal protein L6 in gastric cancer cells [J]. *Cancer Biol Ther*, 2005, 4(2): 242-247
- [17] 谢冲, 黄其伟, 王国民, 等. 多种肿瘤标志物联合前列腺特异性抗原检测在前列腺癌诊断中的价值[J]. 中华泌尿外科杂志, 2015, 36(3): 204-208
Xie Chong, Huang Qi-wei, Wang Guo-min, et al. Value of multi-biomarkers plus PSA in the diagnosis of prostate cancer [J]. Chinese Journal of Urology, 2015, 36(3): 204-208
- [18] Fleshner K, Carlsson SV, Roobol MJ. The effect of the USPSTF PSA screening recommendation on prostate cancer incidence patterns in the USA[J]. *Nat Rev Urol*, 2017, 14(1): 26-37
- [19] Thompson IM Jr. Improved Therapy for PSA Recurrence after Prostatectomy[J]. *N Engl J Med*, 2017, 376(5): 484-485
- [20] 赵琛, 李冬严, 张振文, 等. 多项肿瘤标志物检测在前列腺肿瘤鉴别诊断中的意义[J]. 中国老年学杂志, 2013, 33(24): 6137-6138
Zhao Chen, Li Dong-yan, Zhang Zhen-wen, et al. Significance of multiple tumor markers in differential diagnosis of prostate cancer[J]. Chinese Journal of Gerontology, 2013, 33(24): 6137-6138
- [21] Sasaki H, Klotz LH, Sugar LM, et al. A combination of desmopressin and docetaxel inhibit cell proliferation and invasion mediated by urokinase-type plasminogen activator (uPA) in human prostate cancer cells[J]. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun*, 2015, 464(3): 848-854
- [22] Rybarczyk P, Vanlaeys A, Brassart B, et al. The Transient Receptor Potential Melastatin 7 Channel Regulates Pancreatic Cancer Cell Invasion through the Hsp90 α /uPA/MMP2 pathway [J]. *Neoplasia*, 2017, 19(4): 288-300
- [23] 匡小根. 前列腺癌患者血浆硫氧还蛋白和前列腺特异抗原的测定及其对前列腺癌的诊断价值[J]. 中国现代医学杂志, 2015, 25(12): 77-80
Kuang Xiao-gen. Detection of serum thioredoxin and prostate specific antigen protein and comparison of their diagnostic values for prostate cancer[J]. China Journal of Modern Medicine, 2015, 25(12): 77-80
- [24] Zeng T, Zhu L, Liao M, et al. Knockdown of PYCR1 inhibits cell proliferation and colony formation via cell cycle arrest and apoptosis in prostate cancer[J]. *Med Oncol*, 2017, 34(2): 27
- [25] 朱寒亮, 吴文起, 段小鹿, 等. PARP-1 抑制剂 5-AIQ 联合依托泊苷对 PC3 细胞增殖的影响[J]. 海南医学, 2013, 24(7): 937-939
Zhu Han-liang, Wu Wen-qi, Duan Xiao-lu, et al. Effect of PARP-1 inhibitor 5-AIQ combined with Etoposide on the proliferation of prostate cancer cell line PC3[J]. Hainan Medical Journal, 2013, 24(7): 937-939
- [26] 王洋, 夏梓元, 黄美金, 等. PRDM5 基因抑制前列腺癌细胞 22Rv1 生长[J]. 第二军医大学学报, 2016, 37(6): 724-728
Wang Yang, Xia Zi-yuan, Huang Mei-jin, et al. PRDM5 gene can inhibit the growth of prostate cancer cell line 22Rv1 [J]. Academic Journal of Second Military Medical University, 2016, 37(6): 724-728
- [27] 潘斌, 邓志海, 吴友科, 等. 沉默 URG11 基因对前列腺癌 LNCaP 细胞增殖、迁移与侵袭的影响 [J]. 中国病理生理杂志, 2016, 32(4): 658-664
Pan Bin, Deng Zhi-hai, Wu You-ke, et al. Effect of URG11 gene silencing on proliferation and invasion abilities of human prostate cancer cells [J]. Chinese Journal of Pathophysiology, 2016, 32(4): 658-664
- [28] 徐亚文, 陈玢灿, 许凯, 等. RNAi 干扰 IgGHG1 基因影响人前列腺癌细胞株 LNCaP 及 PC3 的侵袭能力 [J]. 实用医学杂志, 2015, (5): 715-718
Xu Ya-wen, Chen Bin-shen, Xu Kai, et al. Effects of RNAi interference on the invasion of human prostate cancer cell line LNCaP and PC3 by IgGHG1 [J]. The Journal of Practical Medicine, 2015, (5): 715-718
- [29] 刘彼得, 顾晓, 周广臣, 等. TMPRSS2-ERG 及 MMP-9 基因对前列腺癌侵袭性的影响[J]. 基础医学与临床, 2016, 36(4): 508-512
Liu Bi-de, Gu Xiao, Zhou Guang-chen, et al. Effects of TMPRSS2-ERG and MMP-9 gene on the invasiveness of prostate cancer[J]. Basic & Clinical Medicine, 2016, 36(4): 508-512
- [30] 洪善超, 韩菲菲, 王婷婷, 等. 微小 RNA-187 对前列腺癌细胞增殖和迁移的影响[J]. 中华实验外科杂志, 2015, 32(6): 1285-1287
Hong Shan-chao, Han Fei-fei, Wang Ting-ting, et al. Identification of microRNA-187 expression potential role in prostate cancer cell's proliferation and migration [J]. Chinese Journal of Experimental Surgery, 2015, 32(6): 1285-1287

(上接第 708 页)

- [25] Bae JW, Gwak HS, Kim S, et al. Percutaneous vertebroplasty for patients with metastatic compression fractures of the thoracolumbar spine: clinical and radiological factors affecting functional outcomes [J]. *Spine J*, 2016, 16(3): 355-364
- [26] He D, Wu L, Sheng X, et al. Internal fixation with percutaneous kyphoplasty compared with simple percutaneous kyphoplasty for thoracolumbar burst fractures in elderly patients: a prospective randomized controlled trial[J]. *Eur Spine J*, 2013, 22(10): 2256-2263
- [27] Guo Z, Yang J, Zheng Y, et al. Thoracolumbar fascia injury associated with residual back pain after percutaneous vertebroplasty: a compelling study[J]. *Osteoporos Int*, 2015, 26(11): 2709-2710
- [28] Gernsback JE, Wang MY. Percutaneous pedicle screw placement into a spinal segment previously treated with vertebroplasty: technical note[J]. *J Neurosurg Spine*, 2016, 24(5): 786-91
- [29] Gu Y, Zhang F, Jiang X, et al. Minimally invasive pedicle screw fixation combined with percutaneous vertebroplasty in the surgical treatment of thoracolumbar osteoporosis fracture [J]. *J Neurosurg Spine*, 2013, 18(6): 634-640
- [30] Fuentes S, Blondel B, Metellus P, et al. Percutaneous kyphoplasty and pedicle screw fixation for the management of thoraco-lumbar burst fractures[J]. *Eur Spine J*, 2010, 19(8): 1281-1287