

doi: 10.13241/j.cnki.pmb.2014.27.015

# 培美曲塞与吉西他滨联合卡铂治疗初治老年晚期肺腺癌的临床观察 \*

林劲冠 石朝晖 崔自重 余智渊 何 喆

(中南大学湘雅医学院附属肿瘤医院日间化疗科 湖南长沙 410013)

**摘要 目的:**比较培美曲塞与吉西他滨联合卡铂治疗初治老年晚期肺腺癌的疗效和安全性。**方法:**收集 2010 年 1 月 -2011 年 12 月我院≥ 65 岁的Ⅲ b 期和Ⅳ 期肺腺癌患者 84 例,随机分为培美曲塞联合卡铂(PC)组:培美曲塞 500 mg / m<sup>2</sup>d1,卡铂按曲线下面积(Auc)=5 的剂量水平 d2;吉西他滨联合卡铂(GC)组:吉西他滨 1000 mg / m<sup>2</sup> d1,8,卡铂按 Auc=5 的剂量水平 d2,两组 1 个治疗周期均为 21 d,每组 42 例,比较两组患者的有效率,不良反应及 1、2 年生存率。**结果:**PC 组和 GC 组总有效率分别为 28.6% 和 19% (P<0.05),疾病控制率分别为 73.8% 和 57.1% (P<0.05);两组的中位 PFS 分别为 11.8 个月和 10.2 个月(P>0.05),1 年生存率分别为 52.3% 和 51.2% (P>0.05),2 年生存率分别为 24.1% 和 22.4% (P>0.05);PC 组患者白细胞减少、贫血及血小板减少的不良反应发生率均明显低于 GC 组 (P<0.05)。**结论:**培美曲塞联合卡铂与吉西他滨联合卡铂对初治老年晚期肺腺癌的疗效相近,但前者可能更安全;PC 方案可作为老年晚期肺腺癌有效的一线化疗方案。

**关键词:**肺腺癌;培美曲塞;吉西他滨;卡铂**中图分类号:**R734.2 **文献标识码:**A **文章编号:**1673-6273(2014)27-5257-03

## Efficacy of Pemetrexed or Gemcitabine Combined with Carboplatin in the Treatment of Elderly Patients with Advanced Lung Adenocarcinoma\*

LIN Jin-guan, SHI Zhao-hui, CUI Zi-zhong, YU Zhi-yuan, HE Zhe

(Department of Daytime Chemotherapy, Tumor Hospital of Xiangya School of Medicine, Central South University, Changsha, Hunan, 410013, China)

**ABSTRACT Objective:** To compare the efficacy and safety of pemetrexed or gemcitabine combined with carboplatin in the treatment of elderly patients with advanced lung adenocarcinoma. **Methods:** From January 2010 to December 2011 in our hospital, 84 elderly patients (≥ 65) with stage III b and IV lung adenocarcinoma were collected, and randomly divided into pemetrexed plus carboplatin group(PC) with 42 cases and gemcitabine plus carboplatin group(GC) with 42 cases. PC group was received pemetrexed 500 mg / m<sup>2</sup> d1 and carboplatin in the area under the curve (Auc) of =5 d2, whereas GC group was received gemcitabine 1000 mg/m<sup>2</sup> d1,8, and carboplatin at a dose of Auc=5 level d2. One treatment cycles were 21 days. The efficiency, adverse reactions and 1, 2 year survival rate were compared. **Results:** The total effective rates in PC group and GC group were 28.6% and 19% (P<0.05) respectively. The disease control rates were 73.8% and 57.1% (P<0.05). The median PFS were 11.8 and 10.2 months(P>0.05).1 year survival rates were 52.3% and 51.2% (P>0.05). 2 years survival rates were 24.1% and 22.4% (P>0.05). In the PC group, leukopenia, anemia and thrombocytopenia were significantly lower than those in the GC group (P<0.05). **Conclusion:** The efficacy of pemetrexed or gemcitabine combined with carboplatin in the treatment of elderly patients with advanced lung adenocarcinoma was roughly similar, but the former may be less adverse reactions. Therefore pemetrexed combined with carboplatin can be used as a safe and effective drug for clinical first-line treatment for the elderly patients with advanced lung adenocarcinoma.

**Key words:**Lung adenocarcinoma; Pemetrexed; Gemcitabine; Carboplatin**Chinese Library Classification(CLC):** R734.2 **Document code:** A**Article ID:** 1673-6273(2014)27-5257-03

### 前言

据统计,目前确诊为晚期非小细胞肺癌(non-small-cell lung cancer, NSCLC)患者约 60% 年龄 >65 岁,由于丧失手术机会,其治疗手段主要为化疗。NSCLC 患者中约 40% 为肺腺癌,

对化疗药物较为敏感。第 3 代化疗药物如培美曲塞等与铂类的联合化疗是 NSCLC 的标准一线化疗方案<sup>[1]</sup>。目前临幊上顺铂使用较普遍,但其消化道反应严重,肾毒性和耳毒性较大,大大限制了其在老年患者中的使用。卡铂为第 2 代铂类广谱抗肿瘤药物,其疗效与顺铂相当,但其不良反应较后者轻,患者耐受性

\* 基金项目:湖南省卫生厅科研计划资助项目(B2013-101)

作者简介:林劲冠(1974-),男,副主任医师,主要研究方向:肿瘤的内科治疗,E-mail:linjingguan11@siana.com

(收稿日期:2014-03-08 接受日期:2014-03-25)

良好<sup>[2]</sup>。为探索适合老年肺腺癌患者的化疗方案,因此我们比较了培美曲塞联合卡铂与吉西他滨联合卡铂治疗老年肺腺癌的疗效及不良反应。本研究方案经中南大学湘雅医学院附属肿瘤医院伦理委员会批准,并获得了良好的效果。

## 1 资料与方法

### 1.1 一般资料

收集2010年1月至2011年12月入住我院,年龄≥65岁经病理学或细胞学确诊的肺腺患者84例。入选标准:①依据国际抗癌联盟(UICC)第7版恶性肿瘤的TNM分期标准为IIIb期或IV期的初治患者,或是接受手术后辅助化疗(未经培美曲塞、吉西他滨治疗)6个月后复发者;②有影像学可客观测量的肿瘤病灶;③ECOG评分≤2分,④预期生存时间应大于或等于3个月;⑤化疗前心电图、血常规及肝肾功能均正常。排除标准:①患者主动要求退出,因而未完成计划内治疗者;②出现不能耐受的并发症或病情进展者。84例患者均签署知情同意书,采用随机数字方法分为培美曲塞联合卡铂(PC)组和吉西他滨联合卡铂(GC)组,每组各42例。治疗前PC组和GC组年龄分别为(70.12±2.11)岁和(69.98±2.53)岁、其它如性别、临床分期、ECOG评分等均无明显差异( $P>0.05$ ),见表1。

表1 两组患者一般资料情况

Table 1 The characteristics of the two groups

Clinical data	PC group	GC group	P
n	42	42	
Gender			
Male	24(57.1%)	23(54.8%)	1.000
Female	18(42.9%)	19(45.2%)	
Age(year)	69.98±2.53	70.12±2.11	1.000
Clinical stage			
IIIb	22(52.4%)	23(54.8%)	1.000
IV	20(47.6%)	19(45.2%)	
Treatment			
Initial treatment	16(38.1%)	15(37.7%)	1.000
Retreatment	26(61.9%)	27(62.3%)	
ECOG scores			
0-1	32(76.2%)	30(71.4%)	0.804
2	10(23.8%)	12(28.6%)	

### 1.2 治疗方法

PC组:培美曲塞(豪森制药)500 mg/m<sup>2</sup> d1,卡铂按曲线下面积(AUC)=5的剂量水平d2,化疗前7d直至化疗结束口服叶酸400 μg/d,化疗前1d肌注维生素B12 1mg,化疗前1d开始口服地塞米松8mg/d,连续口服3d;GC组:吉西他滨(豪森制药)1000 mg/m<sup>2</sup> d1,8,卡铂按AUC=5的剂量水平d2。两组保持用药平衡均匀,PC组和GC组每个治疗周期均为21 d。

### 1.3 疗效及毒性反应评定标准

比较两组患者的有效率,不良反应及1、2年生存率:治疗2个周期后,使用RECIST标准评价疗效,假如1次化疗后患者主诉症状加重,也需评价疗效,首次评价完全缓解(complete response, CR)、部分缓解(partial response, PR)、稳定(stable dis-

ease, SD)后4周,再进行复核确认。总缓解率(RR)=100%×(CR+PR)/总例数,疾病控制率(DCR)=100%×CR+PR+SD/总例数。无进展生存期(PFS)定义为从化疗开始至疾病进展或死亡的时间。毒副反应按NCI-CTC 3.0常见毒性分级标准评价。

### 1.4 统计分析

采用SPSS 19.0软件进行统计分析,计数资料采用卡方检验(必要时采用Fisher精确检验),秩和检验比较组间临床特征、疗效及毒副反应的差异。生存时间的计算自治疗开始至死亡或末次随访日期止,生存分析采用Kaplan-Meier单因素方法。 $P<0.05$ 为差异具有统计学意义。

## 2 结果

### 2.1 临床疗效比较

82例患者平均化疗3.8个周期,共化疗304个周期,治疗期间PC组和GC组均无脱落病例,均可评价疗效。

PC组和GC组均无CR病例,PC组PR 12例(28.6%),SD 19例(45.2%),PD 11例(26.2%);GC组PR 8例(19.0%),SD 16例(38.1%),PD 18例(42.9%),即PC组和GC组总有效率分别为28.6%和19%,疾病控制率分别为73.8%和57.1%,均有统计学意义( $P<0.05$ ),见表2。

表2 两组患者的临床疗效比较(例数,%)

Table 2 Clinical efficacy in patients (n, %)

Groups	n	PR	SD	PD	RR	DCR
PC group	42	12(28.6)	19(45.2)	11(26.2)	12(28.6)	31(73.8)
GC group	42	8(19.0)	16(38.1)	18(42.9)	8(19.0)*	24(57.1)*

注:与PC组比较,\* $P<0.05$ 。

Note: comparison with pemetrexed group, \* $P<0.05$ .

### 2.2 生存情况比较

随访至2013年11月30日,PC组和GC组的中位PFS分别为11.8个月和10.2个月( $P>0.05$ ),1年生存率分别为52.3%和51.2%( $P>0.05$ ),2年生存率分别为24.1%和22.4%( $P>0.05$ )。

### 2.3 毒副作用比较

PC组和GC组患者均可评价毒副反应,主要表现为血液学毒性和消化道反应。其中PC组白细胞减少、贫血等血液学毒性发生率明显低于GC组,差异具有显著性统计学意义( $P<0.05$ )。两组患者化疗后不良反应发生情况比较,见表3。

表3 化疗后两组患者的不良反应(例)

Table 3 Comparison of the occurrence of adverse reactions in the two groups of patients after chemotherapy (n)

Adverse reactions	PC group (n=42)	GC group (n=42)	$\chi^2$	P
Leukopenia	18	42.9%	31	73.8%
Anemia	6	14.2%	15	57.45%
Thrombocytopenia	5	11.9%	14	33.3%
Nauseated and vomiting	7	16.7%	9	21.4%
Abnormal liver function	5	11.9%	9	21.4%

### 3 讨论

NSCLC 包括鳞癌、腺癌、大细胞癌等病理类型,约占肺癌总数的 80%~85%<sup>[3]</sup>,具有发病率高和死亡率高的特点。其发病率高主要与吸烟、环境因素恶化关系密切,死亡率高则与缺乏有效的早期筛查和早期诊断手段相关,多数患者一旦确诊,已处于Ⅲ b 或Ⅳ 期非小细胞肺癌,失去采取手术切除最佳治疗时间。相对与年轻患者,由于器官功能减退,且常有冠心病等多种伴发疾病,对于 >65 岁的老年肺腺癌患者,考虑其存在较高的治疗相关毒性反应风险,因此,临床医生在制定治疗方案时要综合考虑治疗效果及化疗带来的毒副反应。

目前 NSCLC 的标准一线化疗方案是第 3 代化疗药物与铂类的联合化疗<sup>[4]</sup>,多项研究表明培美曲塞联合卡铂治疗非鳞状非小细胞肺癌均取得较好的疗效<sup>[5-7]</sup>。本研究主要聚焦于第 3 代化疗药物培美曲塞和吉西他滨联合卡铂治疗晚期老年肺腺癌的疗效。

培美曲塞是继甲氨蝶呤和氟尿嘧啶之后新一代多靶点抗叶酸制剂<sup>[8,9]</sup>,通过作用于叶酸合成途径中酶 - 胸苷酸合成酶、二氢叶酸还原酶、甘氨酰胺甲酰基转移酶等多个酶,抑制肿瘤细胞 DNA 和 RNA 合成使肿瘤细胞分裂停滞于 S 期,从而抑制细胞增殖和肿瘤生长,具有高效、低毒的特点。2008 年 9 月,培美曲塞被美国食品药品管理局批准作为 NSCLC 的一线治疗用药。吉西他滨是一种破坏细胞复制的二氟核苷类抗代谢物的药物,可引起骨髓抑制等不良反应。

顺铂是最常见第一代铂类药物,Yang 等研究发现采用培美曲塞联合顺铂治疗非鳞癌患者,其预后明显优于鳞癌患者,而采用吉西他滨联合顺铂方案治疗后鳞癌患者生存更有优势<sup>[10]</sup>。但是对于老年肺腺癌患者而言,其会导致严重消化道反应甚至肾脏、耳的毒性。第二代铂类广谱抗肿瘤药物卡铂疗效与顺铂相当,但胃肠道反应轻,患者耐受性良好。Rogerio C. Lilienbaum 等研究发现,相对与培美曲塞单药,培美曲塞联合卡铂方案用于晚期 NSCLC 的治疗,反应率为 23.8%,一年生存率达 40.1%,表明 PC 方案能明显提高晚期 NSCLC 的生成率<sup>[11]</sup>。

考虑到我国老年人的体质,为了减轻不良反应,根据 2008 年和 2009 年《NCCN 非小细胞肺癌临床实践指南(中国版)》<sup>[12,13]</sup>及文献<sup>[14]</sup>,本研究中吉西他滨的用量定为 1,000 mg / m<sup>2</sup>。我们发现分别用 PC 方案与 GC 方案治疗晚期老年肺腺癌患者,前者的总有效率显著高于后者( $P<0.05$ ),血液系统的不良反应也比后者轻( $P<0.05$ ),但是其中位 PFS、1 年生存率和 2 年生存率没有明显差异,与既往文献相符<sup>[15,16]</sup>。与孙燕等<sup>[14]</sup>比较 GP 与 CP 两者疗效后所得研究结果大致相同,但毒副反应更轻<sup>[17-19]</sup>,说明培美曲塞比吉西他滨联合卡铂治疗肺腺癌近期疗效较好。值得注意的是,本研究与孙燕等人的研究相比,PC 方案比 GP 方案的毒副反应要轻,说明在经济条件许可的情况下,对于老年肺腺癌患者,卡铂更具耐受性<sup>[20,21]</sup>。

综上所述,培美曲塞比吉西他滨联合卡铂治疗肺腺癌近期疗效较好,且由于毒副作用相对较低,老年患者耐受性和依从性更好。相对于 PP 及 GC 方案,PC 方案可能更适合于初治的晚期老年肺腺癌患者,由于本研究选择病例数相对有限,值得临床继续研究和探讨。

### 参 考 文 献(References)

- [1] Kawano Y, Ohyanagi F, Yanagitani N, et al. Pemetrexed and cisplatin for advanced non-squamous non-small cell lung cancer in Japanese patients: phase II study[J]. Anticancer Res, 2013, 33(9):4137
- [2] Rossi A, Di Maio M, Chiodini P, et al. Carboplatin- or cisplatin-based chemotherapy in first-line treatment of small-cell lung cancer: the COCIS meta-analysis of individual patient data [J]. J Clin Oncol, 2012, 30(14):1692-1698
- [3] 雷源源,吴一龙.微转移在非小细胞肺癌的预后价值[J].中国肺癌杂志,2013,9:492-498  
Lei Yuan-yuan, Wu Yi-long. The Prognostic Value of Micrometastasis in Non-small Cell Lung Cancer [J]. Chin J Lung Cancer, 2013, 9:492-498
- [4] NCCN clinical practice guidelines in oncology. Non-small cell lung cancer, 2012[EB/OL].
- [5] T Ciuleanu, T Brodowicz, C Zielinski, et al. Maintenance pemetrexed plus best supportive care versus placebo plus best supportive care for non-small-cell lung cancer: a randomised, double-blind, phase 3 study [J]. Lancet, 2009, 374(9699): 1432-1440
- [6] Giorgio VS, Purvish P, Joachim VP, et al. Phase III Study Comparing Cisplatin Plus Gemcitabine With Cisplatin Plus Pemetrexed in Chemotherapy-Naive Patients With Advanced-Stage Non-Small-Cell Lung Cancer[J]. J. Clin. Oncol, 2008, 26: 3543-3551
- [7] A. Tamiya, M. Tamiya, T. Shiroyama, et al. Dose escalation study of carboplatin-pemetrexed followed by maintenance pemetrexed for elderly patients with advanced nonsquamous nonsmall-cell lung cancer[J]. Ann. Onc, 2013, 24:980-985
- [8] Adjei A. Pharmacology and mechanism of action of pemetrexed [J]. Clin Lung Cancer, 2004, 5(1):51-55
- [9] 麻青,张军峰,李建军,等.培美曲塞与多西他赛分别联合顺铂治疗晚期非小细胞肺癌的疗效观察[J].肿瘤药学,2013,3(4):293-296  
Ma Qing, Zhang Jun-feng, Li Jian-jun, et al. Observation on the Clinical Efficacy of Pemetrexed and Docetaxel, Respectively Combined with Cisplatin in the Treatment of Advanced Non-small Cell Lung Cancer[J]. Anti-tumor Pharmacy, 2013,3(4):293-296
- [10] Yang CH, Simms L, Park K, et al. Efficacy and safety of cisplatin/pemetrexed versus cisplatin/gemcitabine as first-line treatment in East Asian patients with advanced non-small cell lung cancer: results of an exploratory subgroup analysis of a phase III trial [J]. J Thorac Oncol, 2010, 5(5):688-695
- [11] Zukin M, Barrios CH, Pereira JR, et al. Randomized phase III trial of single-agent pemetrexed versus carboplatin and pemetrexed in patients with advanced non-small-cell lung cancer and Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status of 2 [J]. J Clin Oncol, 2013, 31(23):2849-2853
- [12] 《2008NCCN 非小细胞肺癌临床实践指南》(中文版)[S]:29  
《NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology》(V. 2. 2008)[S]: 29
- [13] 《2009NCCN 肺小细胞肺癌临床实践指南》(中文版)[S]:32  
《NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology》(V. 2. 2008)[S]: 32
- [14] 胡兴胜,焦顺昌,张树才.培美曲塞及吉西他滨分别联合顺铂治疗初治晚期非小细胞肺癌安全性和有效性的随机对照研究[J].中国肺癌杂志,2012, 15(10):569-575

(下转第 5263 页)

研究中，我们将进一步细化分析各方位及角度牙齿的菌斑状况。

#### 参考文献(References)

- [1] Sfondrini MF, Debiaggi M, Zara F, et al. Influence of lingual bracket position on microbial and periodontal parameters in vivo [J]. *J Appl Oral Sci*, 2012, 20(3): 357-361
- [2] Derosot JM. Plaque control, a key element of successful orthodontics [J]. *Orthod Fr*, 2010, 81(1): 33-39
- [3] M Ganesh, S Shah, D Parikh, et al. The effectiveness of a musical toothbrush for dental plaque removal: A comparative study [J]. *J Indian Soc Pedod Prev Dent*, 2012, 30(2): 139-145
- [4] Gomes LK, Sarmento CF, Seabra FR, et al. Randomized clinical controlled trial on the effectiveness of conventional and orthodontic manual toothbrushes [J]. *Braz Oral Res*, 2012, 26(4): 360-365
- [5] Al-Anezi SA, Harradine NW. Quantifying plaque during orthodontic treatment [J]. *Angle Orthod*, 2012, 82(4): 748-753
- [6] Lalic M, Aleksic E, Gajic M, et al. Does oral health counseling effectively improve oral hygiene of orthodontic patients [J]. *Eur J Paediatr Dent*, 2012, 13(3): 181-186
- [7] Zanatta FB, Ardenghi TM, Antoniazzi RP, et al. Association between gingival bleeding and gingival enlargement and oral health-related quality of life (OHRQoL) of subjects under fixed orthodontic treatment: a cross-sectional study [J]. *BMC Oral Health*, 2012, 27(12): 53
- [8] Lalic M, Aleksic E, Gajic M, et al. Does oral health counseling effectively improve oral hygiene of orthodontic patients [J]. *Eur J Paediatr Dent*, 2012, 13(3): 181-186
- [9] Kukletova M, Izakovicova Holla L, Musilova K, et al. Relationship between gingivitis severity, caries experience and orthodontic anomalies in 13-15 year-old adolescents in Brno, Czech Republic [J]. *Community Dent Health*, 2012, 29(2): 179-183
- [10] 黄晓峰, 张丁. 使用正畸菌斑指数评价固定矫治器患者的菌斑分布状况[J]. 北京口腔医学, 2005, 13(4): 234-239  
Huang Xiao-feng, Zhang Ding. Plaque index for evaluation of plaque of teeth with fixed orthodontic appliance [J]. Beijing Journal of Stomatology, 2005, 13(4): 234-239
- [11] Atassi F, Awartani F. Oral hygiene status among orthodontic patients [J]. *J Contemp Dent Pract*, 2010, 11(4): 25-32
- [12] Mukherjee PM, Almas K. Orthodontic considerations for gingival health during pregnancy: a review [J]. *Int J Dent Hyg*, 2010, 8(1): 3-9
- [13] Cardoso-Silva C, Barberí A E, Ramos Atance JA, et al. Microbiological analysis of gingivitis in pediatric patients under orthodontic treatment [J]. *Eur J Paediatr Dent*, 2011, 12(4): 210-214
- [14] 冯岩, 林樑钦, 陈瑞斌, 等. 脉冲式冲牙器抑制牙菌斑和牙龈炎的效果评价[J]. 福建医科大学学报, 2012, 4(3): 212-214  
Feng Yan, Lin Liang-qin, Chen Rui-bin, et al. Pulsed red teeth of plaque and gingivitis inhibition effect evaluation [J]. *Journal of Fujian Medical University*, 2012, 4(3): 212-214
- [15] Husseini A, Slot DE, Weijden GA. The efficacy of oral irrigation in addition to a toothbrush on plaque and the clinical parameters of periodontal inflammation: a systematic review [J]. *Int J Dent Hyg*, 2008, 6(4): 304-314
- [16] Jiang LM, Lak B, Eijsvogels LM, et al. Comparison of the cleaning efficacy of different final irrigation techniques [J]. *J Endod*, 2012, 38(6): 838-841
- [17] Rosema NA, Hennequin NL, Berchier CE, et al. The effect of different interdental cleaning devices on gingival bleeding [J]. *J Int Acad Periodontol*, 2011, 13(1): 2-10
- [18] Ciancio SG. The dental water jet: a product ahead of its time [J]. *Compend Contin*, 2009, 30(1): 7-13

(上接第 5259 页)

- Hu Xing-sheng, Jiao Shun-chang, Zhang Shu-cai, et al. Efficacy and Toxicity of Pemetrexed or Gemcitabine Combined with Cisplatin in the Treatment of Patients with Advanced Non-small Cell Lung Cancer [J]. *Chin J Lung Cancer*, 2012, 15(10): 569-575
- [15] Schuette WH, Gr schel A, Sebastian M, et al. A randomized phase II study of pemetrexed in combination with cisplatin or carboplatin as first-line therapy for patients with locally advanced or metastatic non-small-cell lung cancer [J]. *Clin Lung Cancer*, 2013, 14(3): 215-23
- [16] Grønb erg BH, Bremnes RM, Fløtten O, et al. Phase III study by the Norwegian lung cancer study group: pemetrexed plus carboplatin compared with gemcitabine plus carboplatin as first-line chemotherapy in advanced non-small-cell lung cancer [J]. *J Clin Oncol*, 2009, 27(19): 3217-3224
- [17] 姜金, 李伦, 王晓晶, 等. 培美曲塞联合铂类对比吉西他滨联合铂类治疗晚期非小细胞肺癌的 meta 分析 [J]. *中国肺癌杂志*, 2011, 14(1): 43-48  
Jiang Jin, Li Lun, Wang Xiao-jing, et al. A meta-analysis of Pemetrexed plus Platinum Chemotherapy versus Gemcitabine plus Platinum Chemotherapy for Advanced Non-small Cell Lung Cancer [J]. *Chin J Lung Cancer*, 2011, 14(1): 43-48
- [18] Shi X, Yu XM, Zhang YP, et al. Efficacy and safety of pemetrexed or gemcitabine combined with carboplatin as the first-line therapy in elderly patients with advanced non-small cell lung cancer [J]. *Chin J Oncol*, 2013, 35(3): 221-224
- [19] 朱湘平, 姜正华, 黄谦, 等. 培美曲塞联合卡铂治疗老年晚期非鳞非小细胞肺癌临床疗效分析 [J]. *临床肺科杂志*, 2013, 18(2): 229-230  
Zhu Xiang-ping, Jiang Zheng-hua, Huang Qian, et al. Clinical study of pemetrexed combined with carboplatin as the first-line treatment of elderly patients with advanced non-squamous non-small cell lung cancer [J]. *J Clinical Pulmonary Medicine*, 2013, 18(2): 229-230
- [20] 施勋, 余新民, 张沂平, 等. 培美曲塞或吉西他滨联合卡铂一线治疗老年晚期非小细胞肺癌的疗效和安全性 [J]. *中华肿瘤杂志*, 2013, 35(3): 221-224  
Shi Xun, Yu Xin-min, Zhang Yi-ping, et al. Efficacy and safety of pemetrexed or gemcitabine combined with carboplatin as the first-line therapy in elderly patients with advanced non-small cell lung cancer [J]. *Chin J Oncol*, 2013, 35(3): 221-224
- [21] 陶玲, 卓文磊, 杨帆, 等. 培美曲塞联合铂类治疗非小细胞肺癌的 Meta 分析 [J]. *重庆医学*, 2012, 41(1): 44-46  
Tao Ling, Zhuo Wen-lei, Yang Fan, et al. Pemetrexed plus platinum in non-small cell lung cancer: a Meta analysis of randomized controlled trials [J]. *Chongqing Medicine*, 2012, 41(1): 44-46

gemcitabine combined with carboplatin as the first-line therapy in elderly patients with advanced non-small cell lung cancer [J]. *Chin J Lung Cancer*, 2013, 35(3): 221-224

- [19] 朱湘平, 姜正华, 黄谦, 等. 培美曲塞联合卡铂治疗老年晚期非鳞非小细胞肺癌临床疗效分析 [J]. *临床肺科杂志*, 2013, 18(2): 229-230  
Zhu Xiang-ping, Jiang Zheng-hua, Huang Qian, et al. Clinical study of pemetrexed combined with carboplatin as the first-line treatment of elderly patients with advanced non-squamous non-small cell lung cancer [J]. *J Clinical Pulmonary Medicine*, 2013, 18(2): 229-230
- [20] 施勋, 余新民, 张沂平, 等. 培美曲塞或吉西他滨联合卡铂一线治疗老年晚期非小细胞肺癌的疗效和安全性 [J]. *中华肿瘤杂志*, 2013, 35(3): 221-224  
Shi Xun, Yu Xin-min, Zhang Yi-ping, et al. Efficacy and safety of pemetrexed or gemcitabine combined with carboplatin as the first-line therapy in elderly patients with advanced non-small cell lung cancer [J]. *Chin J Oncol*, 2013, 35(3): 221-224
- [21] 陶玲, 卓文磊, 杨帆, 等. 培美曲塞联合铂类治疗非小细胞肺癌的 Meta 分析 [J]. *重庆医学*, 2012, 41(1): 44-46  
Tao Ling, Zhuo Wen-lei, Yang Fan, et al. Pemetrexed plus platinum in non-small cell lung cancer: a Meta analysis of randomized controlled trials [J]. *Chongqing Medicine*, 2012, 41(1): 44-46