

doi: 10.13241/j.cnki.pmb.2014.13.030

小剂量奥美拉唑用于治疗功能性消化不良的效果分析 *

朱 敏¹ 袁昌琼¹ 杨慧英¹ 腾 菲¹ 郭晓东³ 李明阳^{2△}

(1解放军总医院南楼消化科 北京 100853;2解放军总医院消化内镜诊疗科 北京 100853;3解放军第302医院 北京 100039)

摘要 目的:分析小剂量奥美拉唑用于治疗功能性消化不良的临床效果。**方法:**选取我院2009年10月至2011年8月收治的260例功能性消化不良患者,随机分为观察组(148例)和对照组(112例)。观察组患者采取口服小剂量奥美拉唑进行治疗,对照组患者采取口服埃索美拉唑联合多潘立酮进行治疗。观察并比较两组患者的胃部烧灼感、餐后饱胀、上腹痛、嗳气等临床症状的改善情况。**结果:**经过两周的治疗,两组治疗患者的胃部烧灼感、上腹痛、嗳气及餐后饱胀等功能性消化不良病症的改善情况没有明显差异($P>0.05$)。**结论:**小剂量奥美拉唑用于治疗功能性消化不良,其效果与埃索美拉唑联合多潘立酮药物的治疗效果相当,且患者治疗后的不良反应发生率低,可作为临床治疗功能性消化不良的有效药物。

关键词:功能性消化不良;奥美拉唑;小剂量用药;治疗效果

中图分类号:R57 文献标识码:A 文章编号:1673-6273(2014)13-2511-03

Clinical Efficacy of Low-dosed Omeprazole on the Treatment of Functional Dyspepsia*

ZHU Min¹, YUAN Chang-qiong¹, YANG Hui-ying¹, TENG Fei¹, GUO Xiao-dong³, LI Ming-yang^{2△}

(1 Department of Digestion, General Hospital of PLA, Beijing, 100853, China; 2 Department of Gastrointest Endosc, General Hospital of PLA, Beijing, 100853, China; 3 302 Hospital of PLA, Beijing, 100039, China)

ABSTRACT Objective: To analyze the clinical effects of low-dosed omeprazole on the treatment of functional dyspepsia. **Methods:** 260 patients who were treated with functional dyspepsia in our hospital from October 2009 to August 2011 were selected and randomly divided into the observation group (148 cases) and the control group (112 cases). The patients in observation group were treated by low-dosed omeprazole, while patients in control group were treated by esomeprazole combined with domperidone. The clinical symptoms of patients' adverse reactions induced by functional dyspepsia which including the sense of stomach burned, epigastric pain, glutted and eructation were observed and compared between two groups. **Results:** After two-week's treatment, there was no statistically significant difference between two groups in terms of the above clinical symptoms of adverse reactions induced by functional dyspepsia ($P > 0.05$). **Conclusions:** The application of low-dosed omeprazole on the treatment of functional dyspepsia could be equal to the esomeprazole combined with domperidone for their clinical effects. What's more, the use of omeprazole has showed us a low incidence of adverse reactions so that it could be selected as an effective drug to treat the functional dyspepsia.

Key words: Functional dyspepsia; Omeprazole; Low-dosed; Clinical efficacy

Chinese Library Classification: R57 **Document code:** A

Article ID: 1673-6273(2014)13-2511-03

前言

功能性消化不良(Functional dyspepsia)是消化系统常见的疾病,成人是该急症的高发群体^[1-3]。由于功能性消化不良的发病原因较复杂且发病率较高,目前尚未明确划分该病的具体分型,所以临幊上还没有形成统一的治疗功能性消化不良的有效方案^[4,5]。本研究从临幊合理用药的角度出发,通过分析小剂量奥美拉唑用于治疗功能性消化不良的临床效果,探讨该药用于治疗功能性消化不良的有效性及安全性,为临幊研究提供可利用的资料。现将具体结果报道如下:

1 资料与方法

1.1 临幊资料

选取我院2009年10月至2011年8月收治的功能性消化不良患者260例,其中,男性137例,女性123例,平均年龄为46岁。将所有患者随机分为观察组(148例)和对照组(112例)。两组患者在性别、年龄及病程等一般资料上没有明显差异,有可比性。

纳入标准:患者胃部伴有烧灼感、餐后饱胀、嗳气、上腹痛等功能性消化不良的临床症状,需要给予药物进行控制;没有

* 基金项目:解放军总医院临床科研扶持基金(2012FC-TSYS-3011);国家自然科学基金青年科学基金项目(30901795)

作者简介:朱敏(1982-),女,主治医师,主要从事老年消化病等研究

△通讯作者:李明阳, E-mail: laohushanshang@163.com

(收稿日期:2013-12-19 接受日期:2014-01-16)

消化道器官质病变、重度肝功能不全、严重心肺肾疾病、妊娠、哺乳期等情况;没有药物过敏反应或过敏史。

1.2 治疗方法

观察组患者采取口服小剂量奥美拉唑进行治疗,每日一次,每次10 mg;对照组患者采取口服埃索美拉唑联合多潘立酮进行治疗。其中,埃索美拉唑的口服剂量为每次20 mg,每日一次,多潘立酮的口服剂量为每次10 mg,每日三次。两组患者的治疗疗程均为2周,且治疗期间应避免饮用浓茶、咖啡、巧克力及高脂食物等,以免影响口服药物的药性。

1.3 评价标准

临幊上将功能性消化不良的病症分为五个等级:0级、I级、II级、III级和IV级。0级主要表现为无明显症状;I级主要表现为偶尔出现病症反应,但患者没有明显不适感;II级主要表现为有病症反应,但反应不频繁,患者没有明显不适感;III级主要表现为症状比较频繁,患者有明显不适感;IV级主要表现为症状比较严重,需用药进行控制。患者接受不同药物治疗后,

根据病症等级的不同,对治疗效果进行评分:1.症状完全消失为显效;2.症状有明显改善或减轻为有效;3.症状没有改善为无效。患者服用药物进行治疗后的不良反应主要表现为恶心、头痛以及腹泻、便秘、腹胀等。

1.4 统计学处理

将所有数据进行分析,按照不同病症等级,分别以0分、1分、2分、3分、4分表示,以P<0.05为有统计学意义。

2 结果

2.1 两组患者治疗前后的症状对比

两组患者服用不同药物进行治疗后,胃部烧灼感及上腹痛的症状较治疗前均得到改善,组间比较差异不明显(P>0.05);两组患者治疗后,嗳气症状得到缓解;观察组患者的治疗后,其餐后饱胀的症状较治疗前明显改善;对照组患者治疗后也得到缓解。两组患者治疗前后的症状分级评分没有明显差异(P>0.05)。详见表1。

表1 两组患者治疗前后的临床症状对比

Table 1 Comparison of the symptoms in patients between two groups before and after treatment

Groups	Cases	Epigastric pain		Glutted		Eruption		Stomach burning	
		Before treatment	After treatment						
Control	112	3.19± 0.88	0.98± 0.39	3.66± 0.86	2.84± 0.23	3.54± 0.78	2.12± 0.36	2.95± 0.71	0.52± 0.18
Observation	148	3.04± 0.91	1.03± 0.40	3.30± 0.73	2.92± 0.18	3.61± 0.69	2.33± 0.30	2.68± 0.18	0.71± 0.22

2.2 两组患者的治疗效果对比

如表2所示,对照组患者治疗后病症的总缓解率虽高于观

察组,但差异不明显(P>0.05)。

表2 两组患者的治疗效果对比

Table 2 Comparison of treatment efficacy in patients between two groups

Groups	Cases	Rate of relief	Treatment efficacy		
			Remarkable	Effective	Invalid
Control	112	80.3%(90)	44.6%(50)	35.7%(40)	19.6%(22)
Observation	148	78.3%(116)	40.5%(60)	37.8%(56)	21.6%(32)

2.3 两组患者治疗后的不良反应发生情况

观察组患者没有发生任何不良反应情况;而对照组患者中出现皮疹1例,哮喘1例,头晕3例;经对症处理后均已恢复并完成治疗。

3 结论

奥美拉唑是一种右旋异构体,它在实际应用中的代谢率比较低,并且生物利用度比较高,血浆浓度也高,对于酸性物质的抑制能力比较强^[11,16]。奥美拉唑对胃黏膜细胞具有特异性,而这种特异性则通过降低胃黏膜壁细胞中H⁺,K⁺等酶的活性来实现,从而抑制功能性消化不良患者因刺激作用而引起的胃酸分泌^[8,20]。本研究中,观察组患者在治疗后,胃部烧灼感明显得到缓解,说明奥美拉唑有效的抑制了患者胃酸的过度分泌。

埃索美拉唑是奥美拉唑的S-异构体,通过特异性的靶向

作用机制减少胃酸分泌,为壁细胞中质子泵的特异性抑制剂^[7,13]。埃索美拉唑用于治疗功能性消化不良,可能会削弱食管黏膜的保护机制,甚至损伤食管黏膜,不利于黏膜的修复^[17,19]。对于胃肠动力比较差的患者,其胃内食物存在积滞的情况,此时若采用埃索美拉唑单药进行治疗容易引起细菌性食管炎,甚至加重患者功能性消化不良的症状^[12,14]。多潘立酮作为一种周围性多巴胺拮抗剂,能够直接作用于消化道,增强食管及平滑肌的收缩能力,从而加速了胃内气体的排放^[15,18]。本研究中,对照组患者在治疗后的餐后饱胀症状明显改善,说明多潘立酮在治疗中发挥了作用。

综上所述,我们通过研究发现,观察组患者采用口服小剂量奥美拉唑进行治疗,对照组患者采用口服埃索美拉唑联合多潘立酮进行治疗,两组患者治疗的有效率基本相似,没有显著差异,说明奥美拉唑可有效的治疗功能性消化不良。此外,我们

还发现，服用奥美拉唑的患者在治疗后不良反应的发生率较低，说明奥美拉唑用于治疗功能性消化不良对患者造成的副作用小，值得临床推广。

参考文献(References)

- [1] 张林,江艳燕,李莲,等.米氮平联合替加色罗治疗功能性消化不良临床研究[J].现代生物医学进展,2012,12(33):6558-6560+6511
Zhang Lin, Jiang Yan-yan, Li Lian, et al. Clinical Study of the Effect of Combination Application of Mirtazapine and Tegaserod on Functional Dyspepsia [J]. Progress in Modern Biomedicine, 2012, 12 (33):6558-6560+6511
- [2] Moayyedi P. Helicobacter pylori eradication for functional dyspepsia: what are we treating? A comment on "Helicobacter pylori eradication in functional dyspepsia" [J]. Archives of Internal Medicine, 2011, 28,171(21):1936-1937
- [3] Ford AC. Eradicating Helicobacter pylori in functional dyspepsia[J]. Gastroenterology, 2012, 142(7):1613-1614
- [4] Kumar A, Singh N, Prakash S, et al. Early enteral nutrition in severe acute pancreatitis:a prospective randomized controlled trial comparing nasojejunal and nasogastric routes [J]. Journal of Clinical Gastroenterology, 2006,12(06):183-184
- [5] Martens U, Caspary G, Rilk A, et al. Six-year follow-up of patients with functional bowel disorders,with and without previous psychotherapy[J]. Psychological Medicine, 2010, 22:7
- [6] Kumar A, Singh N, Prakash S, et al. Early enteral nutrition in severe acute pancreatitis: a prospective randomized controlled trial comparing nasojejunal and nasogastric routes[J]. J Clin Gastroenterol, 2006,40(5):431-432
- [7] 张文宇,蒋萌,李树人,等.丹参和甘遂在重症急性胰腺炎治疗中的联合应用[J].现代生物医学进展,2012,12(31):6095-6098
Zhang Wen-yu, Jiang Meng, Li Shu-ren, et al. Therapeutic Effects of Salvia Miltiorrhiza and Kansui Root on Severe Acute Pancreatitis[J]. Progress in Modern Biomedicine, 2012, 12(31):6095-6098
- [8] Hegazi RA, OKeefe SJ. Nutritional immunomodulation of acute pancreatitis[J]. Curr Gastroenterol Rep,2007,9(2):99-106
- [9] Al-Azzawi HH, Wade TE, Swartz-Basile DA, et al. Acute pancreatitis in obesity: a dipokines and dietary fish oil [J]. Digestive Diseases and Sciences, 2011,56(8):2318-2325
- [10] Cao Y, Xu Y, Lu T, et al. Meta-analysis of enteral nutrition versus total parenteral nutrition in patients with severe acute pancreatitis[J]. Annals of Nutrition and Metabolism, 2008,12(04):193-194
- [11] Feller JH, Brown RA, Toussaint GP, et al. Changing methods in the treatment of severe pancreatitis[J].Am J Surg, 1974,127(2):196-2-1
- [12] Iovino P, Santonicola A, Ciacci C, et al. Assessment of proximal gastric accommodation in patients with functional dyspepsia [J]. World J Gastroenterol, 2013, 21, 19(47):9137-9138
- [13] Kaushil N, Pietraszewski M, Hols JJ, et al. Enteral feeding without pancreatic stimulation[J]. Pancreas,2005,51(4):353-359
- [14] 曾明民,谭红波,梁志军,等.重症急性胰腺炎患者早期肠内营养治疗的作用及价值探讨[J].现代生物医学进展,2012,06:1129-1132
Zeng Ming-min, Tan Hong-bo, Liang Zhi-jun, et al. Investigation on the Effect and Value of Early Enteral Nutrition on Patients with Severe Acute Pancreatitis [J]. Progress in Modern Biomedicine, 2012,06:1129-1132
- [15] Lugli AK, Carli F, Wykes L. The importance of nutrition status assessment: the case of severe acute pancreatitis[J]. Nutr Rev,2007,65 (7):329-334
- [16] Fischer M, Hassan A, Sipe BW, et al. Endoscopic retrograde cholangio-pancreatography and manometry findings in 1, 241 idiopathic pancreatitis patients[J]. Pancreatology, 2010,10(4):444-52
- [17] 张静,桑海彤,王燕,等.兰索拉唑治疗胃食管反流病的临床疗效及食管功能变化观察[J].现代生物医学进展,2012,33:6532-6535
Zhang Jing, Sang Hai-tong, Wang Yan, et al. Curative Effect and Reflux Laryngitis and the Motor Activity of Esophagus of Lansoprazole in Treatment of Gastroesophageal Reflux Disease [J]. Progress in Modern Biomedicine, 2012,33:6532-6535
- [18] Sarikaya M, Filik L, Do?an Z, et al. Is there any effect of sequential eradication therapy of helicobacter pylori on functional dyspepsia symptom resolution? [J]. Acta Gastroenterol Belg, 2013, 76 (4): 448-449
- [19] Du Y, Su T, Song X, et al. Efficacy and Safety of Cinitapride in the Treatment of Mild to Moderate Postprandial Distress Syndrome-predominant Functional Dyspepsia [J]. J Clin Gastroenterol, 2014,48 (4):328-335
- [20] Lettino M. Inhibition of the antithrombotic effects of clopidogrel by proton pump inhibitors:facts or fancies [J]. European Journal of Internal Medicine, 2010, 21(6):484-489