

doi: 10.13241/j.cnki.pmb.2021.24.028

## 经鼻高流量氧疗对慢性阻塞性肺疾病急性加重期患者血气指标、膈肌功能及炎性反应的影响\*

张 鑫<sup>1</sup> 吕建农<sup>2△</sup> 张海燕<sup>3</sup> 高 鹏<sup>1</sup> 巩玉菊<sup>1</sup>

(1徐州医科大学第二附属医院尘肺三科 江苏徐州 221000;2徐州医科大学附属医院急诊 ICU 江苏徐州 221000;

3徐州医科大学第二附属医院影像科 江苏徐州 221000)

**摘要 目的:**探讨经鼻高流量氧疗对慢性阻塞性肺疾病急性加重期(AECOPD)患者血气指标、膈肌功能及炎性反应的影响。**方法:**回顾性分析2018年2月~2020年8月我院收治的119例AECOPD患者的临床资料。根据不同氧疗方法分为传统组59例和研究组60例,传统组给予传统氧疗,研究组给予经鼻高流量氧疗。对比两组治疗前后血气指标、膈肌功能及炎性反应的变化情况,观察两组不良反应发生率、治疗后再插管率、喘急胸闷和咳痰困难消失率。**结果:**研究组治疗后动脉血二氧化碳分压(PaCO<sub>2</sub>)较传统组低,血氧饱和度(SaO<sub>2</sub>)、动脉血氧分压(PaO<sub>2</sub>)高于传统组(均P<0.05)。研究组治疗后膈肌浅快呼吸指数(D-RSBI)、平静呼吸膈肌移动度(DEq)低于传统组(均P<0.05)。研究组治疗后血清白细胞介素(IL)-6、肿瘤坏死因子(TNF)-α低于传统组(均P<0.05)。研究组治疗后再插管率低于传统组,喘急胸闷消失率、咳痰困难消失率高于传统组(均P<0.05)。两组的不良反应总发生率组间对比无统计学差异(P>0.05)。**结论:**与传统氧疗相比,经鼻高流量氧疗应用于AECOPD患者可有效改善血气指标、膈肌功能,减轻炎性反应,改善患者的临床症状。

**关键词:**经鼻高流量氧疗;慢性阻塞性肺疾病急性加重期;血气指标;膈肌功能;炎性反应

中图分类号:R563 文献标识码:A 文章编号:1673-6273(2021)24-4737-05

## Effects of Nasal High Flow Oxygen Therapy on Blood Gas Index, Diaphragm Function and Inflammatory Reaction in Patients with Acute Exacerbation of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease\*

ZHANG Xin<sup>1</sup>, LÜ Jian-nong<sup>2△</sup>, ZHANG Hai-yan<sup>3</sup>, GAO Peng<sup>1</sup>, GONG Yu-ju<sup>1</sup>

(1 Third Department of Pneumoconiosis, The Second Affiliated Hospital of Xuzhou Medical University, Xuzhou, Jiangsu, 221000, China;

2 Emergency ICU, Affiliated Hospital of Xuzhou Medical University, Xuzhou, Jiangsu, 221000, China;

3 Department of Imaging, The Second Affiliated Hospital of Xuzhou Medical University, Xuzhou, Jiangsu, 221000, China)

**ABSTRACT Objective:** To investigate the effect of nasal high flow oxygen therapy on blood gas index, diaphragm function and inflammatory reaction in patients with acute exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (AECOPD). **Methods:** The clinical data of 119 patients with AECOPD in our hospital from February 2018 to August 2020 were retrospectively analyzed. According to different oxygen therapy methods, they were divided into traditional group with 59 cases and study group with 60 cases. The traditional group was treated with traditional oxygen therapy, and the study group was treated with nasal high flow oxygen therapy. The changes of blood gas index, diaphragm function and inflammatory reaction were compared between the two groups before and after treatment. The incidence of adverse reactions, reintubation rate after treatment, disappearance rate of dyspnea and chest tightness, expectoration difficulty were observed. **Results:** Arterial blood carbon dioxide partial pressure (PaCO<sub>2</sub>) in the study group after treatment was lower than that in the traditional group, blood oxygen saturation (SaO<sub>2</sub>), arterial partial pressure of oxygen (PaO<sub>2</sub>) were higher than those in the traditional group (all P<0.05). After treatment, diaphragm shallow fast respiration index (D-RSBI), diaphragm movement of calm breathing (DEq) in the study group were lower than those in the traditional group (all P<0.05). After treatment, the levels of serum interleukin (IL)-6, tumor necrosis factor (TNF)-α in the study group were lower than those in the traditional group (all P<0.05). The reintubation rate in the study group after treatment was lower than that in the traditional group, and the disappearance rate of dyspnea, chest tightness and the disappearance rate of expectoration difficulty in the study group were higher than those in the traditional group (all P<0.05). There was no significant difference in the total incidence of adverse reactions between the two groups (P>0.05). **Conclusion:** Compared with traditional oxygen therapy, nasal high flow oxygen therapy in patients with AECOPD can effectively improve blood gas indexes, diaphragm function, reduce inflammatory response, and improve the clinical symptoms of patients.

\* 基金项目:江苏省卫生健康委科研项目(H2019090)

作者简介:张鑫(1982-),女,本科,副主任医师,研究方向:尘肺及呼吸系统疾病,E-mail: ff11798998@163.com

△ 通讯作者:吕建农(1959-),男,本科,主任医师,研究方向:重症病人多脏器功能不全,E-mail: f66d8qqdy@126.com

(收稿日期:2021-04-26 接受日期:2021-05-23)

**Key words:** Nasal high flow oxygen therapy; Acute exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; Blood gas index; Diaphragm function; Inflammatory reaction

**Chinese Library Classification(CLC): R563 Document code: A**

**Article ID: 1673-6273(2021)24-4737-05**

## 前言

慢性阻塞性肺疾病(COPD)通常是由于机体暴露于有毒气体或颗粒环境中,从而导致气道慢性炎症、基底膜纤维化等,引起肺泡异常,最终引发持续的呼吸道症状和气流受限的一类疾病<sup>[1,2]</sup>。根据病程 COPD 可分为急性加重期、稳定期,其中 COPD 急性加重期(AECOPD)是咳痰、咳嗽、呼吸困难等症状急性加重,若不及时进行干预治疗,可导致二氧化碳潴留、缺氧和呼吸衰竭,甚至危及患者性命<sup>[3,4]</sup>。以往研究证实<sup>[5]</sup>,AECOPD 是导致 COPD 患者住院率、致残率及死亡率增加的重要原因。目前有关 AECOPD 的治疗除了常规对症药物治疗外,短时间内提高患者的有效通气量,并及时纠正低氧血症也是治疗该病的方法之一<sup>[6]</sup>。经鼻高流量氧疗是将氧气经湿化和加热后给氧的新型方式,在多种呼吸衰竭疾病治疗中获得了满意的疗效<sup>[7,8]</sup>,但有关其与传统氧疗在 AECOPD 的治疗价值仍需进一步的验证。本研究通过分析经鼻高流量氧疗在 AECOPD 患者中的应用价值,以期为临床治疗提供参考。

## 1 资料与方法

### 1.1 一般资料

纳入标准:(1)诊断标准参考《慢性阻塞性肺疾病基层诊疗指南(2018年)》<sup>[9]</sup>,意识清楚,血流动力学稳定;(2)耐受氧疗,均为轻中度 AECOPD。排除标准:(1)严重呼吸衰竭者;(2)存在肝肾等脏器严重功能障碍者;(3)支气管哮喘、肺癌等其他肺部疾病者;(4)胸廓畸形者;(5)合并严重心脏疾病、消化系统疾病者;(6)行机械通气的患者。回顾性分析 2018 年 2 月~2020 年 8 月我院收治的 AECOPD 患者(n=119)的临床资料。根据不同氧疗方法分为传统组 59 例和研究组 60 例,传统组中男性、女性分别为 42 例、17 例;年龄 45~78 岁,平均(56.91±5.27)岁;病情严重程度:轻度 32 例,中度 27 例;存在吸烟史者 37 例。研究组中男性、女性分别为 44 例、16 例;年龄 48~77 岁,平均(56.37±6.19)岁;病情严重程度:轻度 34 例,中度 26 例;存在吸烟史者 39 例。两组一般资料对比无差异( $P>0.05$ ),具有可比性。

### 1.2 方法

两组均给予糖皮质激素、解痉、支气管扩张药物、平喘、排痰、抗感染等治疗,传统组在常规基础上给予传统氧疗,具体操作为:常规清洁鼻腔,连接鼻导管,一端固定于鼻翼部,另一端经一侧鼻孔将鼻导管插入至鼻咽部。初始流量供氧情况依据患者是否伴有二氧化碳潴留情况而定。无二氧化碳潴留的初始流量供氧 2~4 L/min,伴有二氧化碳潴留的为 1~2 L/min。研究组在常规基础上给予经鼻高流量氧疗,具体操作为:常规清洁鼻腔,经鼻插管。将 OH-70C 高流量湿化氧疗治疗仪(湖南明康中锦医疗科技发展有限公司)、KL-20 空氧混合器(深圳科曼医疗设备有限公司)、SH530 湿化器(沛荷(上海)医疗器械有

限公司)等和氧源相连后,设置仪器参数:温度 34 °C, 流量 20 L/min, 相对湿度 100%, 吸入氧浓度(FiO<sub>2</sub>)0.50。连接仪器,开始供氧治疗。两组患者待生命体征平稳时即可停止吸氧。

### 1.3 观察指标

(1)治疗前后采用美国 GEM3500 全自动血气分析仪检测两组患者血气指标:动脉血二氧化碳分压(PaCO<sub>2</sub>)、血氧饱和度(SaO<sub>2</sub>)、动脉血氧分压(PaO<sub>2</sub>);(2)治疗前后检测两组患者膈肌功能指标:膈肌浅快呼吸指数(D-RSBI)、平静呼吸膈肌移动度(DEq)。具体操作方法为:测量前患者禁食 2 h,取半卧位,探头频率设置 3~5Hz,将 JH-3200 床旁超声仪(江苏佳华电子设备有限公司)探头置于右侧锁骨中线或腋前线与肋弓下缘交界处,探头指向头侧,分别测量 D-RSBI、DEq,均测量 3 次,取平均值;其中 D-RSBI=呼吸频率 / 膈肌活动度。(3)对比两组患者治疗后再插管率、喘急胸闷消失率、咳痰困难消失率。(4)治疗前后采集患者清晨空腹静脉血 4~5 mL,采用酶联免疫吸附法(试剂盒购自上海酶联生物科技有限公司)对患者血清白细胞介素(IL)-6、肿瘤坏死因子(TNF)-α 水平进行检测。(5)记录两组治疗期间不良反应情况:如腹腔胀气、鼻粘膜干燥出血等发生情况。

### 1.4 统计学方法

采用 SPSS26.0 分析数据,计量资料均为正态分布,且方差齐性,采用“均数±标准差”表示,采用成组 t 检验或校正 t 检验(两组间的比较)+采用配对 t 检验(同组内前后比较)。采用率表示计数资料,行卡方检验。检验水准为  $\alpha=0.05$ 。

## 2 结果

### 2.1 血气指标对比

治疗后两组患者 PaCO<sub>2</sub> 降低, SaO<sub>2</sub>、PaO<sub>2</sub> 升高(均  $P<0.05$ ),研究组治疗后 PaCO<sub>2</sub> 低于传统组, SaO<sub>2</sub>、PaO<sub>2</sub> 高于传统组(均  $P<0.05$ ),详见表 1。

### 2.2 膈肌功能指标对比

治疗后两组患者 D-RSBI、DEq 降低(均  $P<0.05$ ),研究组治疗后 D-RSBI、DEq 低于传统组(均  $P<0.05$ ),详见表 2。

### 2.3 炎性反应指标对比

两组患者治疗后血清 IL-6、TNF-α 降低(均  $P<0.05$ ),研究组治疗后血清 IL-6、TNF-α 低于传统组(均  $P<0.05$ ),详见表 3。

### 2.4 再插管率、喘急胸闷消失率、咳痰困难消失率对比

研究组治疗后再插管率低于传统组,喘急胸闷消失率、咳痰困难消失率高于传统组(均  $P<0.05$ ),详见表 4。

### 2.5 不良反应比较

两组不良反应总发生率组间对比无差异( $P>0.05$ ),详见表 5。

## 3 讨论

COPD 作为一种结构性的呼吸系统慢性疾病,气流受限且

表 1 两组治疗前后血气指标对比( $\bar{x} \pm s$ )Table 1 Comparison of blood gas indexes between the two groups before and after treatment( $\bar{x} \pm s$ )

Groups	PaCO <sub>2</sub> ( mmHg)		SaO <sub>2</sub> ( %)		PaO <sub>2</sub> ( mmHg)	
	Before treatment	After treatment	Before treatment	After treatment	Before treatment	After treatment
Traditional group(n=59)	53.49± 5.87	46.52± 5.48 <sup>a</sup>	79.43± 6.65	85.76± 5.84 <sup>a</sup>	48.67± 4.17	54.61± 5.89 <sup>a</sup>
Study group( n=60 )	53.23± 6.65	41.06± 5.27 <sup>a</sup>	79.81± 5.39	90.41± 4.62 <sup>a</sup>	48.41± 4.53	60.48± 6.36 <sup>a</sup>
t	0.226	5.540	-0.343	-4.821	0.326	-5.222
P	0.822	0.000	0.732	0.000	0.745	0.000

Note: compared with the same group before treatment, <sup>a</sup>P<0.05.

表 2 两组治疗前后膈肌功能指标对比( $\bar{x} \pm s$ )Table 2 Comparison of diaphragm function indexes before and after treatment in the two groups( $\bar{x} \pm s$ )

Groups	D-RSBI( n/mm·min )		DEq( mm )	
	Before treatment	After treatment	Before treatment	After treatment
Traditional group(n=59)	0.53± 0.09	0.41± 0.08 <sup>a</sup>	22.73± 2.63	18.60± 2.28 <sup>a</sup>
Study group( n=60 )	0.51± 0.08	0.32± 0.06 <sup>a</sup>	22.81± 2.34	14.43± 2.17 <sup>a</sup>
t	1.282	6.950	-0.175	10.221
P	0.202	0.000	0.861	0.000

Note: compared with the same group before treatment, <sup>a</sup>P<0.05.

表 3 两组治疗前后炎性反应指标对比( $\bar{x} \pm s$ )Table 3 Comparison of inflammatory reaction indexes between the two groups before and after treatment( $\bar{x} \pm s$ )

Groups	IL-6( ng/L )		TNF- $\alpha$ ( ng/L )	
	Before treatment	After treatment	Before treatment	After treatment
Traditional group(n=59)	9.08± 1.33	6.83± 0.98 <sup>a</sup>	21.85± 3.28	17.14± 2.23 <sup>a</sup>
Study group( n=60 )	9.14± 1.45	4.49± 0.76 <sup>a</sup>	21.68± 2.94	13.94± 2.19 <sup>a</sup>
t	-0.235	14.569	0.298	7.898
P	0.815	0.000	0.766	0.000

Note: compared with the same group before treatment, <sup>a</sup>P<0.05.

表 4 两组治疗后再插管率、喘急胸闷消失率、咳痰困难消失率对比 [n( % )]

Table 4 Comparison of reintubation rate, disappearance rate of dyspnea and chest tightness, and disappearance rate of expectoration difficulty between the two groups after treatment [n( % )]

Groups	Reintubation rate after treatment	Disappearance rate of dyspnea and chest tightness		Disappearance rate of expectoration difficulty
		before treatment	after treatment	
Traditional group( n=59 )	12( 20.34 )	41( 69.49 )		38( 64.41 )
Study group( n=60 )	3( 5.00 )	53( 88.33 )		50( 83.33 )
$\chi^2$	6.354	6.364		8.536
P	0.012	0.012		0.003

表 5 两组不良反应发生率对比 [例( % )]

Table 5 Comparison of the incidence of adverse reactions between the two groups [n( % )]

Groups	Abdominal distention	Dry bleeding of nasal mucosa	Total incidence
Traditional group( n=59 )	3( 5.08 )	5( 8.47 )	8( 13.56 )
Study group( n=60 )	2( 3.33 )	2( 3.33 )	4( 6.67 )
$\chi^2$			1.559
P			0.212

具有不可逆性,尤其是AECOPD的患者症状呈进行性加重,局部炎性反应加重,柱状上皮细胞纤毛输送系统形成障碍,痰液增多且难以排出体外,气道阻力进一步增加,导致患者肺部呼气末残气量及肺气量增多<sup>[10-12]</sup>。痰液增多引起气道狭窄,增加患者的呼气负荷,长期处于高负荷状态下,最终导致低氧及高碳酸血症,引起呼吸衰竭甚至死亡<sup>[13-15]</sup>。以往的研究发现<sup>[16]</sup>,吸氧、排痰、支气管扩张药物、解痉、糖皮质激素、平喘、抗感染等常规治疗可有效控制AECOPD的患者病情。氧疗是AECOPD治疗的基本方法,供氧治疗能够迅速提升血氧含量,缓解器官缺氧状况,改善预后<sup>[17]</sup>。传统氧疗方式为经鼻导管给氧,可有效避免高碳酸血症可能引起的二氧化碳麻醉,刘亚萍<sup>[18]</sup>等学者认为保守性氧疗治疗能显著改善AECOPD患者的肺功能和缺氧症状。经鼻高流量氧疗能够对所供氧气进行加温和加湿,刘峰<sup>[19]</sup>等人证实经鼻高流量湿化氧疗可明显改善AECOPD患者的临床症状,但经鼻高流量氧疗目前仍属于小范围应用的氧疗方法,其与传统氧疗治疗AECOPD的疗效优劣仍需要总结和验证。

低氧血症、高碳酸血症等现象的发生是导致AECOPD发生呼吸衰竭的重要因素,其中PaCO<sub>2</sub>的上升以及SaO<sub>2</sub>、PaO<sub>2</sub>的降低可刺激机体的循环和呼吸系统,表现为心脏排血量和心脏负荷增加、心率及呼吸频率加快,严重影响患者的生命质量<sup>[20-22]</sup>。本次研究中,经鼻高流量氧疗治疗AECOPD患者血气分析指标改善更佳,可能是因为经鼻高流量氧疗可促进呼吸道纤毛功能恢复,提高了肺部顺应性和氧气利用率<sup>[23]</sup>。膈肌是人体最主要的呼吸肌,由于AECOPD患者长期气流受限,会导致膈肌负荷增加,致使膈肌损伤和膈肌疲劳,不利于患者呼吸<sup>[24]</sup>。D-RS-BI、DEq是临床反映膈肌功能的常见指标,本研究发现,经鼻高流量氧疗治疗可更好地改善人体的膈肌功能,杨圣强等学者<sup>[25]</sup>的研究报道也证实:经鼻高流量氧疗对AECOPD患者膈肌功能恢复具有促进作用,分析其原因主要是高流量氧疗可提供充足的氧气流量,氧流量较高可有效冲刷气道,进而有效清除体内多余的二氧化碳,促进肺部气体交换,改善患者通气功能,减少呼吸功耗,进而有利于改善膈肌疲劳,减轻膈肌损伤<sup>[26]</sup>。COPD主要的病理生理学机制是慢性气道炎症,IL-6、TNF-α可促进中性粒细胞凋亡的减少及淋巴细胞的过度凋亡,引起肺组织的结构功能出现损伤,导致患者病情加重<sup>[27]</sup>。本次研究中,经鼻高流量氧疗治疗的患者炎性反应得到显著缓解,可能是该氧疗方式提供加热加湿的气体,促进呼吸道改善,减轻机体高反应性或刺激性,从而降低炎性因子水平<sup>[28]</sup>。研究组治疗后的喘急胸闷消失率、咳痰困难消失率高于传统组,再插管率则低于传统组,说明经鼻高流量氧疗可使氧浓度维持稳定,使氧流量抑制高于患者吸气时最高氧流量,弥补传统氧疗方式中供氧不稳定、氧流量偏少的不足<sup>[29]</sup>。经鼻高流量氧疗提供的氧气进行了“加湿”这一过程,氧气流经人体气道时可有效促进气道痰性分泌物的湿化,将痰液分泌出体外,降低气道刺激,改善患者喘急胸闷等症状,降低治疗后再插管率<sup>[30,31]</sup>。两组不良反应发生率对比无差异,可见患者对经鼻高流量氧疗有较好的耐受性。

综上所述,经鼻高流量氧疗治疗AECOPD患者可获得更好的临床效果,在改善血气指标、膈肌功能、炎性指标方面效果确切,可有效缓解临床症状。本次研究不足之处为经鼻高流量氧疗的最适宜氧浓度尚未分析,有待进一步的研究。

## 参考文献(References)

- [1] Duffy SP, Criner GJ. Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease: Evaluation and Management[J]. Med Clin North Am, 2019, 103(3): 453-461
- [2] Riley CM, Sciurba FC. Diagnosis and Outpatient Management of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease: A Review [J]. JAMA, 2019, 321(8): 786-797
- [3] Wageck B, Cox NS, Holland AE. Recovery Following Acute Exacerbations of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease- A Review [J]. COPD, 2019, 16(1): 93-103
- [4] Kunadharaju R, Sethi S. Treatment of Acute Exacerbations in Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease [J]. Clin Chest Med, 2020, 41 (3): 439-451
- [5] 王雨婷, 黄建安. 急性加重住院的慢性阻塞性肺疾病患者五年生存影响因素的研究[J]. 中国血液流变学杂志, 2020, 30(3): 335-341
- [6] Lacasse Y, Tan AM, Maltais F, et al. Home Oxygen in Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease [J]. Am J Respir Crit Care Med, 2018, 197(10): 1254-1264
- [7] 张文静, 余艳芳, 魏威, 等. 两种氧疗方式治疗慢性阻塞性肺疾病急性加重期合并II型呼吸衰竭患者的效果 [J]. 实用临床医药杂志, 2021, 25(1): 50-53
- [8] Wang ML, Hung MH, Chen JS, et al. Nasal high-flow oxygen therapy improves arterial oxygenation during one-lung ventilation in non-intubated thoracoscopic surgery [J]. Eur J Cardiothorac Surg, 2018, 53 (5): 1001-1006
- [9] 中华医学会, 中华医学会杂志社, 中华医学会全科医学分会, 等. 慢性阻塞性肺疾病基层诊疗指南(2018年)[J]. 中华全科医师杂志, 2018, 17(11): 856-870
- [10] Segal LN, Martinez FJ. Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease subpopulations and phenotyping [J]. J Allergy Clin Immunol, 2018, 141 (6): 1961-1971
- [11] Scoditti E, Massaro M, Garbarino S, et al. Role of Diet in Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease Prevention and Treatment [J]. Nutrients, 2019, 11(6): 1357
- [12] 汪伟, 王彬, 张立春, 等. 加味麻杏石甘汤联合噻托溴铵对慢性阻塞性肺疾病急性加重期患者肺功能、血气指标及炎症因子的影响 [J]. 现代生物医学进展, 2021, 21(6): 1046-1050
- [13] Berg K, Wright JL. The Pathology of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease: Progress in the 20th and 21st Centuries [J]. Arch Pathol Lab Med, 2016, 140(12): 1423-1428
- [14] Ritchie AI, Wedzicha JA. Definition, Causes, Pathogenesis, and Consequences of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease Exacerbations [J]. Clin Chest Med, 2020, 41(3): 421-438
- [15] Dai J, Yang P, Cox A, et al. Lung cancer and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: From a clinical perspective [J]. Oncotarget, 2017, 8 (11): 18513-18524
- [16] Zheng ZG, Sun WZ, Hu JY, et al. Hydrogen/oxygen therapy for the treatment of an acute exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: results of a multicenter, randomized, double-blind, parallel-group controlled trial[J]. Respir Res, 2021, 22(1): 149
- [17] Lai CJ, Yeh KC, Wang ML, et al. Heated humidified high-flow nasal oxygen prevents intraoperative body temperature decrease in non-intubated thoracoscopy[J]. J Anesth, 2018, 32(6): 872-879
- [18] 刘亚萍, 姚叶锦, 江方正, 等. 保守性氧疗在慢性阻塞性肺疾病急

- 性加重期的应用与护理[J]. 东南国防医药, 2019, 21(3): 308-310
- [19] 刘峰, 贾文娟, 陈玉梅, 等. 经鼻高流量湿化氧疗在慢性阻塞性肺疾病急性加重期患者治疗中的应用研究 [J]. 国际呼吸杂志, 2018, 38(16): 1216-1219
- [20] Kopsaftis Z, Carson-Chahoud KV, Austin MA, et al. Oxygen therapy in the pre-hospital setting for acute exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease [J]. Cochrane Database Syst Rev, 2020, 1(1): CD005534
- [21] Di Mussi R, Spadaro S, Stripoli T, et al. High-flow nasal cannula oxygen therapy decreases postextubation neuroventilatory drive and work of breathing in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease[J]. Crit Care, 2018, 22(1): 180
- [22] Ekström M, Ahmadi Z, Bornefalk-Hermansson A, et al. Oxygen for breathlessness in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease who do not qualify for home oxygen therapy [J]. Cochrane Database Syst Rev, 2016, 11(11): CD006429
- [23] Jacobs SS, Krishnan JA, Lederer DJ, et al. Home Oxygen Therapy for Adults with Chronic Lung Disease. An Official American Thoracic Society Clinical Practice Guideline [J]. Am J Respir Crit Care Med, 2020, 202(10): e121-e141
- [24] Elshof J, Duiverman ML. Clinical Evidence of Nasal High-Flow Therapy in Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease Patients [J]. Respiration, 2020, 99(2): 140-153
- [25] 杨圣强, 张贵真, 刘贞, 等. 经鼻高流量氧疗对慢性阻塞性肺疾病急性加重患者膈肌功能的影响:一项前瞻性随机对照研究[J]. 中华危重症急救医学, 2019, 31(5): 551-555
- [26] Raza HA, Nokes B, Wesselius L, et al. Long-Term Oxygen Therapy in Patients With Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease and Moderate Hypoxemia[J]. J Am Osteopath Assoc, 2018, 118(10): 663-665
- [27] 杨凌婧. 稳定期中重度慢阻肺患者血清 IL-6、IL-8、TNF- $\alpha$ 、hs-CRP 与肺功能的相关性研究[J]. 临床肺科杂志, 2016, 21(3): 481-484
- [28] Murphy PB, Rehal S, Arbane G, et al. Effect of Home Noninvasive Ventilation With Oxygen Therapy vs Oxygen Therapy Alone on Hospital Readmission or Death After an Acute COPD Exacerbation: A Randomized Clinical Trial[J]. JAMA, 2017, 317(21): 2177-2186
- [29] Nagata K, Kikuchi T, Horie T, et al. Domiciliary High-Flow Nasal Cannula Oxygen Therapy for Patients with Stable Hypercapnic Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease. A Multicenter Randomized Crossover Trial[J]. Ann Am Thorac Soc, 2018, 15(4): 432-439
- [30] 吴可人, 徐健. 经鼻高流量氧疗与无创正压通气联合治疗慢性阻塞性肺疾病急性加重期患者的效果[J]. 中国临床医学, 2020, 27(5): 831-833
- [31] Plotnikow GA, Accoce M, Fredes S, et al. High-Flow Oxygen Therapy Application in Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease Patients With Acute Hypercapnic Respiratory Failure: A Multicenter Study [J]. Crit Care Explor, 2021, 3(2): e0337

(上接第 4728 页)

- [21] Ranjan R, Nath S, Sarkar S. Association between Depression, Anxiety and Quality of Life among Patients with Diabetes Mellitus And/or Hypertension in a Tertiary Care Railway Hospital in India: A Cross-Sectional Study[J]. Indian J Psychiatr, 2020, 62(7): 592-595
- [22] Padmapriya C, Pushkarapriya S, Shanmugapriya N, et al. Effect of sertraline in patients with newly diagnosed depression and type 2 diabetes mellitus or hypertension: An observational study from south India[J]. Diabetes and Metab Synd, 2020, 14(5): 13-19
- [23] Yong X, Gao X, Zhang Z, et al. Associations of occupational stress with job burn-out, depression and hypertension in coal miners of Xinjiang, China: a cross-sectional study [J]. BMJ Open, 2020, 10 (7): e036087
- [24] M Huang, LI Dong-Jun. Research on the Efficacy of Enalapril Folate Acid Tablets Combined with Estrogen in the Treatment of Perimenopausal H-type Hypertension [J]. Curr Vasc Pharmacol, 2019, 33 (6): 83-85, 97
- [25] Aryan L, Younessi D, Zargari M, et al. The Role of Estrogen Recep-
- tors in Cardiovascular Disease[J]. Int J Mol Sci, 2020, 21(12): 4314
- [26] Soman YB, Pawelczyk JA, De Souza MJ, et al. Aging women and their endothelium: probing the relative role of estrogen on vasodilator function [J]. Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol. 2019, 317 (2): H395-H404
- [27] Myers P O, Tissot C, Beghetti M. Assessment of operability of patients with pulmonary arterial hypertension associated with congenital heart disease[J]. Circ J, 2019, 78(1): 4-11
- [28] Yuichiro O, Sho O, Kuniaki N, et al. Expression of P2X4R mRNA and protein in rats with hypobaric hypoxia-induced pulmonary hypertension[J]. Circ J, 2019, 75(4): 945-954
- [29] Tan EC, Lim HW, Chua TE, et al. Investigation of variants in estrogen receptor genes and perinatal depression [J]. Neuropsychiatr Dis Treat, 2018, 14(1): 919-925
- [30] Zi A, Jc A, Lei T A, et al. Aromatase-induced endogenous estrogen promotes tumour metastasis through estrogen receptor- $\alpha$ /matrix metalloproteinase 12 axis activation in castration-resistant prostate cancer - ScienceDirect[J]. Cancer Lett, 2019, 467(3): 72-84